arXiv:1205.2733v1 [math.FA] 11 May 2012

Noncommutative Harmonic and Subharmonic Polynomials and other Noncommutative Partial Differential Equations

Christopher S. Nelson

March 3, 2013

Abstract

Solutions to Laplace's equation are called harmonic functions. Harmonic functions arise in many applications, such as physics and the theory of stochastic processes. Of interest classically are harmonic polynomials, which have a simple classification. Further, the work of Reznick, building on the work of others, namely Sylvester, Clifford, Rosanes, Gundelfinger, Cartan, Maass and Helgason, has led to a classification of all polynomial solutions to a differential equation of the form

$$q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_g}\right) y = 0,$$

where q is a homogeneous polynomial over an algebraically closed field.

The definition of harmonicity can be extended to the space of polynomials in free variables using the concept of a noncommutative Laplacian. Given a positive integer ℓ, the ℓ-Laplacian of a noncommutative (abbreviated NC) polynomial p in the direction h is defined to be

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h] := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{d^\ell}{dt^\ell} p(x_1, \ldots, x_i + th, \ldots, x_g).$$

A NC polynomial p is said to be ℓ-harmonic if $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h] = 0$. When $\ell = 2$, then the ℓ-Laplacian is simply called the Laplacian and a ℓ-harmonic NC polynomial is simply called harmonic. More generally, the concept of a constant coefficient differential equation can be extended to the space of NC polynomials via the NC directional derivative.

The main contribution of this paper is to show that a NC polynomial is ℓ-harmonic if and only if it is a linear combination of NC polynomials of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_i,$$

such that for any ℓ integers $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$, the coefficients a_{ij} satisfy

$$\sum_{j=1}^{G} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell} a_{k_i j} = 0.$$

This result is analogous to the classification of polynomial solutions to a differential equation of the form $q(\partial/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial/\partial x_g)y = 0$ given by Reznick. Additionally, this paper proves new results about NC "subharmonic" polynomials.

This work extends results of Helton, McAllaster, and Hernandez on NC harmonic and subharmonic polynomials, which classified solutions for the $\ell = 2$ case in two noncommuting variables x_1 and x_2.

1 Introduction

The introduction is divided into three subsections. § 1.1 presents the basic definitions for the theory of non-commutative polynomials. § 1.2 presents the main results of this paper. § 1.3 discusses the motivation for the concepts studied in this paper.

1.1 Definitions

1.1.1 Noncommutative Polynomials

A noncommutative monomial m of degree d on the free variables $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ is a product $x_{a_1} x_{a_2} \cdots x_{a_d}$ corresponding to a unique sequence $\{a_i\}_{i=1}^d$ of non-negative integers, $1 \leq a_i \leq g$. The set of all monomials in $(x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ is denoted as $\mathcal{M}$. The length of a monomial m is denoted as $|m|$.

The space of noncommutative, or NC, polynomials $p(x) = p(x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ with coefficients in a field $\mathbb{F}$ is denoted $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{F}$ will be thought of as either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. An element $p(x) \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ is expressed as

$$p(x) = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_m \, m$$

for scalars $A_m \in \mathbb{F}$. An example of a NC polynomial is

$$p(x) = p(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 \, x_2 \, x_1 + x_1 \, x_2 \, x_1^2 + x_1 \, x_2 - x_2 \, x_1 + 7.$$

In commutative variables, this would be equivalent to $2x_1^3 x_2 + 7 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. Special care will be taken throughout this paper to distinguish between spaces of NC polynomials $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ and spaces of polynomials in commutative variables $\mathbb{F}[x]$.

1.1.2 Degree of a NC Polynomial

A NC monomial

$$m = x_{a_1} \ldots x_{a_D}$$

is said to have **degree** d **in** x_i if precisely d of the x_{a_j} are equal to x_i. This is denoted $\deg_i(m) = d_i$. For a NC polynomial

$$p(x_1, \ldots, x_d) = \sum_{|m| \leq D} A_m m,$$

$\deg_i(p)$ is defined as

$$\deg_i(p) := \max_{A_m \neq 0} \{\deg_i(m)\}.$$

A NC polynomial $p(x)$ is said to be **homogeneous of degree** d **in** x_i if p is a sum of terms with degree d in x_i.

1.1.3 Transpose of a NC Polynomial

The **transpose** of a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is defined so that it is compatible with matrix transposition. This paper for the most part will consider polynomials in **symmetric variables**. This means that each variable x_i satisfies $x_i^T = x_i$. The space $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ will denote the space where $x_i \neq x_i^T$ and will be studied in § 4. Transposes of monomials in symmetric variables are defined to be

$$(x_{a_1} \, \dots \, x_{a_d})^T := x_{a_d} \, \dots \, x_{a_1}.$$

The transpose of a polynomial p, denoted p^T, is therefore defined by $p(x) = \sum\limits_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_m \, m^T$, and has the following properties:

(1) $(p^T)^T = p$
(2) $(p_1 + p_2)^T = p_1^T + p_2^T$
(3) $(\alpha p)^T = \alpha p^T \qquad (\alpha \in \mathbb{R})$
(4) $(p_1 \, p_2)^T = p_2^T \, p_1^T$.

Symmetric (or self-adjoint) polynomials are those that are equal to their transposes, i.e. those which satisfy $p(x) = p^T(x)$.

1.1.4 Evaluating Noncommutative Polynomials

Let $(\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$ denote the set of g-tuples $(X_1, \dots, X_g)$ of real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices. One can evaluate a polynomial $p(x) = p(x_1, \dots, x_g) \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ at a tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_g) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$. In this case, $p(X)$ is also an $n \times n$ matrix and the involution on $\mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ that was introduced earlier is compatible with matrix transposition, i.e.,

$$p^T(X) = p(X)^T,$$

where $p(X)^T$ denotes the transpose of the matrix $p(X)$. When $X \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$ is substituted into p, the constant term $p(0)$ of $p(x)$ becomes $p(0)I_n$. For example,

$$p(x) = 3 + x_1^2 + 5x_2^3 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad p(X) = 3I_n + X_1^2 + 5X_2^3.$$

A symmetric polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ is **matrix positive** if $p(X)$ is a positive-semidefinite matrix for each tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_g) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$. A symmetric polynomial p is matrix positive if and only if p is a finite sum of squares

$$p = \sum_i p_i^T p_i,$$

for some polynomials $p_i \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ (See [2]). This paper continuously emphasizes that, unless otherwise noted, $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ stand for variables and $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n$ stand for matrices (usually symmetric).

1.1.5 Noncommutative Differentiation

Define h to be an indeterminate direction parameter. For some variable x_i, define $D[p(x_1,\ldots,x_g),x_i,h]$ to be

$$D[p(x_1,\ldots,x_g),x_i,h] := \frac{d}{dt}[p(x_1,\ldots,(x_i+th),\ldots,x_g)]_{|t=0}. \tag{1.1.1}$$

This polynomial in $x=(x_1,\ldots,x_g)$ and h is called the **directional derivative** of $p=p(x_1,\ldots,x_g)$ in x_i in the direction h. Note that the directional derivative operator is linear in h. When all variables commute, this directional derivative is equal to $h\dfrac{\partial p}{\partial x_i}(x)$. For a detailed formal definition of this directional derivative see [7], and for more examples see [3].

Example 1.1. The directional derivative of $p=x_1^2\,x_2$ is

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[x_1^2\,x_2,x_1,h\right] &= \tfrac{d}{dt}\left[(x_1+th)^2x_2\right]_{|t=0} \\ &= \tfrac{d}{dt}\left[x_1^2\,x_2+th\,x_1\,x_2+tx_1\,h\,x_2+t^2h^2\,x_2\right]_{|t=0} \\ &= \left[h\,x_1\,x_2+x_1\,h\,x_2+2th^2\,x_2\right]_{|t=0} \\ &= h\,x_1\,x_2+x_1\,h\,x_2. \end{aligned}$$

□

As in this example, in general the directional derivative of p on x_i in the direction h is simply the sum of all possible terms produced from p by replacing one instance of x_i with h.

Lemma 1.2. *The directional derivative of NC polynomials is linear,*

$$D[a\,p+b\,q,x_i,h]=a\,D[p,x_i,h]+b\,D[q,x_i,h]$$

and respects transposes

$$D\left[p^T,x_i,h\right]=D[p,x_i,h]^T.$$

Proof. Straighforward.

□

Define $D[p,ax_i+bx_j,h]$ to be

$$D[p,ax_i+bx_j,h]=aD[p,x_i,h]+bD[p,x_j,h],$$

and define $D[p,x_{a_1}\ldots x_{a_k},h]$ to be the k^{th} derivative

$$D[p,x_i^k,h]=\overbrace{D[D[\ldots D}^{k\ \text{times}}[p,x_{a_1},h],x_{a_2},h],\ldots,x_{a_k},h].$$

For any commutative polynomial $q\in\mathbb{F}[x]$, the derivative $D[p,q,h]$ is defined in the obvious way. The polynomial q here is called the **full symbol** and is analogous to the full symbol in the commutative case.

Example 1.3. If $p = x_1^3 + x_1x_2 \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ and $q = x_1^2 + 2x_2 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D[p,q,h] &= D\left[x_1^3 + x_1x_2, x_1^2 + 2x_2, h\right] \\ &= D\left[D\left[x_1^3 + x_1x_2, x_1, h\right], x_1, h\right] + 2D\left[x_1^3 + x_1x_2, x_2, h\right] \\ &= 2x_1h^2 + 2hx_1h + 2h^2x_1 + 2x_1h. \end{aligned}$$

□

If q is homogeneous of degree ℓ, then when all variables commute, $D[p,q,h]$ is simply equal to

$$D[p,q,h] = h^\ell q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial x_g}\right) p = h^\ell q(\nabla)p, \tag{1.1.2}$$

where $q(\nabla)$ is the differential operator given by evaluating q at the g-tuple $(\partial/\partial x_1, \ldots, \partial/\partial x_g)$. If $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is any polynomial, then a differential operator $D[\cdot, q, h]$ is called a **constant-coefficient** NC differential operator. The **order** of $D[\cdot, q, h]$ is the degree of q, and $D[\cdot, q, h]$ is of **homogeneous order** if q is homogeneous.

1.2 Main Results

1.2.1 Non-Commutative Harmonic and Subharmonic Polynomials

This paper is primarily interested in the concept of NC harmonic and subharmonic polynomials. The notion of a NC Laplacian, NC harmonic, and NC subharmonic polynomials was first introduced in [1]. The **Laplacian** of a NC polynomial p is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lap}[p,h] &:= D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^2, h\right] & (1.2.1) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[p(x_1, \ldots, (x_i + th), \ldots, x_g)]_{|t=0}. & (1.2.2) \end{aligned}$$

When the variables commute, $\mathrm{Lap}[p,h]$ is $h^2\Delta\left[p\right]$, the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Delta\left[p\right] := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

A NC polynomial is called **harmonic** if its Laplacian is zero. A NC polynomial is called **subharmonic** if its Laplacian is matrix positive—or equivalently, if its Laplacian is a finite sum of squares $\sum_i P_i^T P_i$ (see [2]). A subharmonic polynomial is called **purely subharmonic** if it is not harmonic, i.e., if its Laplacian is nonzero and matrix positive.

For a discussion of the motivation behind studying NC harmonic and subharmonic polynomials, see § 1.3.

1.2.2 ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

The **ℓ-Laplacian**, denoted $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h]$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h] = D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^\ell, h\right].$$

A NC polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ is **ℓ-harmonic** if $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h] = 0$. In the special case that $\ell = 2$, a NC 2-harmonic polynomial is simply said to be NC harmonic, and the NC 2-Laplacian is the NC Laplacian.

When the variables commute, $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h]$ is $h^\ell \Delta_\ell[p]$, the **ℓ-Laplacian** on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Delta_\ell[p] := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^2}.$$

In the commutative case, all homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ are sums of polynomials of the form

$$(a_1 x_1 + \ldots + a_g x_g)^d \tag{1.2.3}$$

where either $d < \ell$, or $d \geq \ell$ and $a_1^\ell + \ldots + a_g^\ell = 0$. This result follows from a paper of Reznick (see [19]), which extends the work of others, namely Sylvester, Clifford, Rosanes, Gundelfinger, Cartan, Maass and Helgason. Note that the ℓ-harmonic case is only a special case of what Reznick proved.

The main theorem of this dissertation, Theorem 1.4, classifies all ℓ-harmonic polynomials in a similar way to (1.2.3) with the exception that instead of using pure powers of linear forms, the noncommutative classification uses permutations of independent products of powers of linear forms.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ and let ℓ be a positive integer.*

1. *Every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ of degree less than ℓ is ℓ-harmonic.*
2. *If $g \geq d$, then every NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j, \tag{1.2.4}$$

   such that $\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$.

3. *If $d > \max\{\ell, g\}$, then the space $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d\rangle$. Therefore, every NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij} x_j,$$

   such that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_\ell \leq d$.

Proof. This proof requires several results and will be completed in § 3.

The following are a few examples illustrating the kinds of polynomials which are of the form (1.2.4).

Example 1.5. The noncommutative polynomials

$$q = (x_1 + ix_2)^2 \qquad \textit{and} \qquad = (x_3 + ix_4)^2$$

are harmonic since $1^2 + i^2 = 0$. Further,

$$qr = (x_1 + ix_2)^2(x_3 + ix_4)^2 = \prod_{i=1}^{4} \sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{ij} x_j$$

is harmonic since for each $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq 4$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} a_{k_1 j} a_{k_2 j} = 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_{11} = a_{21} = 1 \quad &\text{and} \quad a_{31} = a_{41} = 0, \\ a_{12} = a_{22} = i \quad &\text{and} \quad a_{32} = a_{42} = 0, \\ a_{13} = a_{23} = 0 \quad &\text{and} \quad a_{33} = a_{43} = 1, \\ a_{14} = a_{24} = 0 \quad &\text{and} \quad a_{34} = a_{44} = i. \end{aligned}$$

□

Example 1.6. Consider the NC polynomial

$$r(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_2^2 x_1^2 + x_2^2 x_3^3 - x_3^2 x_2^2 + x_3^2 x_1^2 - x_1^2 x_3^2.$$

This polynomial is harmonic. At first glance it may not be apparent why r is of the same form as (1.2.4). One even notices that when the variables commute, $r = 0$.

By considering r as an element of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_4 \rangle$, one sees

$$\begin{aligned} r(x) = &\frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 - x_1^2)(x_3^2 - x_4^2) - \frac{1}{2}(x_3^2 - x_4^2)(x_2^2 - x_1^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 - x_4^2)(x_2^2 - x_3^2) - \frac{1}{2}(x_2^2 - x_3^2)(x_1^2 - x_4^2) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}(x_3^2 - x_1^2)(x_4^2 - x_2^2) - \frac{1}{2}(x_4^2 - x_2^2)(x_3^2 - x_1^2) \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.5}$$

Each $(x_i^2 - x_j^2)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} x_i^2 - x_j^2 &= \operatorname{Re}(x_i + ix_j)^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(x_i + ix_j)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(x_i - ix_j)^2. \end{aligned} \tag{1.2.6}$$

This polynomial r belongs to a special class of polynomials which is defined and studied in § 3.4. □

1.2.3 Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables

A polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ is called a polynomial in nonsymmetric variables. In this case, $x_i \neq x_i^T$ for each x_i. One can define notions of NC harmonic, ℓ-harmonic, and subharmonic in this setting. In § 4, Theorem 1.4 is extended to the nonsymmetric variable case.

1.2.4 Subharmonic Polynomials

It is proven in [1] that all homogeneous degree $2g$ subharmonic polynomials $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ are of the form

$$p = \sum_{i}^{finite} c_i \gamma_i(x)^T \gamma_i(x) + H \tag{1.2.7}$$

for some $c_i \in \mathbb{R}$, for some NC, harmonic, homogeneous degree d polynomials γ_i, and for some NC, harmonic, homogeneous degree $2d$ polynomial $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$. All such polynomials p of the form (1.2.7) are not necessarily subharmonic (for example, take all of the c_i to be negative). When the c_i are all positive, then p is a sum of squares of harmonic polynomials plus a harmonic polynomial. Proposition 5.11 proves that all NC, homogeneous, subharmonic polynomials in two variables are of this form. Example 5.12 proves, however, that there exist NC subharmonic polynomials in more than two variables which are not finite sums of squares of harmonic polynomials plus a harmonic polynomial.

A NC polynomial p is **bounded below** if there exists a constant C such that $p + C$ is matrix positive.

Theorem 1.7. *Let d be a positive integer. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ be a NC, homogeneous degree $2d$, subharmonic polynomial which is bounded below. Then p is of the form*

$$p = \sum_{i}^{finite} \gamma_i^T \gamma_i,$$

where each $\gamma_i \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ is a homogeneous degree d harmonic polynomial.

Proof. The proof is given in §5.2.4. □

1.3 Related Topics and Motivation

This paper follows a burdeogening line of work on noncommutative polynomials and rational functions (see [2], [6], [7], [20], [21], [22]). More specifically, this paper extends the results of Helton, McAllister, and Hernandez in [1]. Related to this topic is the work of Popescu on free holomorphic and free pluriharmonic functions (see [13], [14], [15], [16], [17]). Popescu's work uses a different definition of derivative, hence his notion of a noncommutative harmonic function is different from the one presented here.

This article would come under the general heading of “free analysis”, since the setting is a noncommutative algebra whose generators are “free” of relations. The largest and oldest (initiated by Voiculescu) branch of the subject is free probability. The interested reader is referred to the web site [18] of American Institute of Mathematics, which in particular gives the findings of the AIM workshop in 2006 on free analysis.

Noncommutative Convexity The noncommutative Hessian is defined as:

$$NCHes[p(x_1,\dots,x_g),\{x_1,\eta_1\},\dots,\{x_g,\eta_g\}] := \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[p(x_1+t\eta_1,\dots,x_g+t_g\eta_g)]_{|t=0}.$$

Note that this is composed of several independent direction parameters η_i. If p is a polynomial, then its Hessian is a polynomial in x and η which is homogeneous of degree 2 in η.

A NC polynomial is considered **convex** wherever its Hessian is matrix positive. A NC polynomial $p = p(x_1,\dots,x_d)$ is **geometrically convex** if and only if, for every $X, Y \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$, the polynomial

$$\frac{1}{2}\big(p(X)+p(Y)\big) - p\left(\frac{X+Y}{2}\right)$$

is positive semidefinite. It is proved in [5] that convexity is equivalent to geometric convexity. A crucial fact regarding these polynomials is that they are all of degree two or less (see [6]). Some excellent papers on noncommutative convexity are [11] and [4].

The commutative analog of this “directional” Hessian is the quadratic function

$$H\big(p\big)\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1\\ \vdots\\ \eta_g\end{pmatrix}\cdot\begin{pmatrix}\eta_1\\ \vdots\\ \eta_g\end{pmatrix} \tag{1.3.1}$$

where $H\big(p\big)$ is the Hessian matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix}\frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_1 x_g}\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_g x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 p}{\partial x_g x_g}\end{pmatrix}. \tag{1.3.2}$$

If this Hessian is positive semidefinite for all points $(x_1,\dots,x_g)$, then f is said to be convex.

Classically the Laplacian is the trace of the Hessian. When the Laplacian is nonnegative, f is subharmonic, so all convex polynomials must also be subharmonic. Similarly, all NC convex polynomials must also NC subharmonic.

Noncommutative Algebra in Engineering Inequalities involving polynomials in matrices and their inverses and other associated optimization problems have become important in engineering, for an exposition see [9]. When such polynomials are matrix convex, any local minima are automatically global minima. Inequalities involving such matrix polynomials may also be analyzed well using interior point numerical methods. In the last few years, proposed approaches in the field of optimization and control theory based on linear matrix inequalities and semidefinite programming have become important and promising since they present a general framework which can be can be used for a large set of problems. When they are convex, matrix inequality optimization problems are well behaved and interior point methods provide efficient algorithms which are effective on moderate-sized problems. Unfortunately, the class of matrix-convex NC polynomials is very small. As already mentioned, matrix convex polynomials are all of degree two or less [6].

The original reason for studying noncommutative polynomial solutions to partial differential inequalities was to analyze conditions similar to convexity which are not as restrictive, in the hopes of finding much broader classes of polynomials which still retained "nice" properties (i.e. subharmonic polynomials).

2 Basic Facts about Derivatives and Permutations of Noncommutative Polynomials

This section proves some useful results on differentiation of NC polynomials. These results will be used later to prove Theorem 1.4.

2.1 Permutations of Polynomials

2.1.1 Definition

For a positive integer d, let S_d denote the **symmetric group of degree** d (that is, the group of permutations on d elements). An element $\sigma \in S_d$ is a bijection of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ onto itself, mapping $1, 2, \ldots, d$ onto $\sigma(1), \sigma(2), \ldots, \sigma(d)$ respectively. This may be presented as

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & d \\ \sigma(1) & \sigma(2) & \ldots & \sigma(d) \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.1.1}$$

Alternately, a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ may be represented as a product of cycles

$$\tau = (a_1 \; a_2 \; \ldots a_k),$$

where the cycle τ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\tau(a_1) &= a_2 \\ \tau(a_2) &= a_3 \\ &\vdots \\ \tau(a_{d-1}) &= a_d \\ \tau(a_d) &= a_1\end{aligned} \tag{2.1.2}$$

and $\tau(k) = k$ for all other k.

Given a monomial $m = x_{a_1}x_{a_2}\dots x_{a_d} \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ of degree d and a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ define $\sigma[m]$ to be

$$\sigma\left[x_{\alpha_1}x_{\alpha_2}\dots x_{\alpha_d}\right] := x_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}}x_{\alpha_{\sigma(2)}}\dots x_{\alpha_{\sigma(d)}}. \tag{2.1.3}$$

For a general homogeneous degree d polynomial p of the form

$$p = \sum_{|m|=d} A_m\, m$$

define $\sigma[p]$ to be

$$\sigma[p] := \sum_{|m|=d} A_m\, \sigma[m].$$

The polynomial $\sigma[p]$ is referred to as a **permutation of** p .

For clarification, note that later on—for example, in § 3.4—we use a permutation $\tau \in S_d$ to look at monomials

$$x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)}\dots x^{\ell}_{\tau(d)}.$$

The permutation action defined in (2.1.3) applies a permutation to the subscripts of the $a_1, \dots, a_d$, not to the subscripts of the variables $x_1, \dots, x_g$.

Example 2.1. Let $\sigma \in S_4$ be

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 2 & 3 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1\ 4),$$

and let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be

$$p = x_1x_2x_3x_4 + x_1^4.$$

One sees that $\sigma[p]$ is equal to $\sigma[p] = x_4x_2x_3x_1 + x_1^4.$ $\square$

For any d and any $\sigma \in S_d$, define $\sigma[0]$ to be 0. With this convention, permutation as defined in (2.1.3) defines an action of S_d on the subspace of $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ spanned by all NC, homogeneous degree d polynomials.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a homogeneous degree d polynomial of the form*

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_j.$$

Let $\sigma \in S_d$. Then $\sigma[p]$ is equal to

$$\sigma[p] = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{\sigma(i)j} x_j.$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{g} \dots \sum_{j_d=1}^{g} \left[(a_{1j_1} x_{j_1})(a_{2j_2} x_{j_2}) \dots (a_{dj_d} x_{j_g}) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{g} \dots \sum_{j_d=1}^{g} (a_{1j_1} \dots a_{dj_d}) \, x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_d}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\sigma[p]$ is equal to

$$\sigma[p] = \sum_{j_1=1}^{g} \dots \sum_{j_d=1}^{g} (a_{1j_1} \dots a_{dj_d}) \, x_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \dots x_{j_{\sigma(d)}}.$$

The product of scalars $a_{1j_1} \dots a_{dj_d}$ is equal to

$$a_{1j_1} \dots a_{dj_d} = a_{\sigma(1)j_{\sigma(1)}} \dots a_{\sigma(d)j_{\sigma(d)}}.$$

Making the substitution $j_{\sigma(i)} = k_i$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma[p] &= \sum_{j_1=1}^{g} \dots \sum_{j_d=1}^{g} \left(a_{\sigma(1)j_{\sigma(1)}} \dots a_{\sigma(d)j_{\sigma(d)}} \right) x_{j_{\sigma(1)}} \dots x_{j_{\sigma(d)}} \\ &= \sum_{k_1=1}^{g} \dots \sum_{k_d=1}^{g} \left(a_{\sigma(1)k_1} \dots a_{\sigma(d)k_d} \right) x_{k_1} \dots x_{k_d} \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{\sigma(i)j} x_j. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.1.2 Symmetrization

For each homogeneous degree d polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, define the **symmetrization** of p by

$$\operatorname{Symm}[p] := \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma[p]. \tag{2.1.4}$$

Define Symm[0] to be 0. For a general polynomial p equal to

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^{d} p_i(x) \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle,$$

where each p_i is either zero or homogeneous of degree i, define Symm$[p]$ to be

$$\mathrm{Symm}[p] := \sum_{i=0}^{d} \mathrm{Symm}[p_i].$$

A polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is said to be **symmetrized** if $p = \mathrm{Symm}[p]$.

Example 2.3. If $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2 + x_2x_1$ and $q(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_1x_2x_2$ then

$$\mathrm{Symm}[p(x_1, x_2)] = p(x_1, x_2)$$

so $p(x_1, x_2)$ is symmetrized. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Symm}[q(x_1, x_2)] =& \frac{1}{6}(x_1x_1x_2x_2 + x_1x_2x_1x_2 + x_2x_1x_1x_2 \\ &+ x_1x_2x_2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2x_1 + x_2x_2x_1x_1) \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.5}$$

which shows $q(x_1, x_2)$ is not symmetrized. □

Note further that for any homogeneous degree d polynomial $r \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{Symm}[r]\right] &= \frac{1}{(d!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma \left[\sum_{\tau \in S_d} \tau[r] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{(d!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \sigma\tau[r]. \end{aligned}$$

For each σ, let $\tau = \sigma^{-1}\omega$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{Symm}[r]\right] &= \frac{1}{(d!)^2} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sum_{\omega \in S_d} \sigma\sigma^{-1}\omega[r] \\ &= \frac{d!}{(d!)^2} \sum_{\omega \in S_d} \omega[r] = \mathrm{Symm}[r]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.1.6}$$

In other words, the symmetrization of a polynomial is symmetrized.

Here are some propositions which prove other properties of Symm[].

Proposition 2.4. *A homogeneous degree d NC polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is symmetrized if and only if $\sigma[p] = p$ for each $\sigma \in S_d$.*

Proof. If $\sigma[p] = p$ for each $\sigma \in S_d$, then

$$\mathrm{Symm}[p] = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma[p] = \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} p = p.$$

If p is symmetrized, then $p = \mathrm{Symm}[p]$ and for each $\sigma \in S_d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma[p] = \sigma[\mathrm{Symm}[p]] &= \sigma \left[\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \tau[p] \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \sigma\tau[p] \ = \ \frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\omega \in S_d} \omega[p] \\ &= \mathrm{Symm}[p] = p. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.5. *Let* $p = (b_1x_1 + \ldots + b_dx_d)^d \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ *be a homogeneous degree* d *polynomial. Then* $\mathrm{Symm}[p] = p$.

Proof. We can express p as

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_j,$$

where $a_{ij} = b_j$ for all i. By Proposition 2.2, for each $\sigma \in S_d$, we have

$$\sigma[p] = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{\sigma(i)j}x_j = \prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_j,$$

since $a_{\sigma(i)j} = a_{ij} = b_j$. □

Define the **commutative collapse** of $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$, denoted $\mathrm{Comm}[p]$, as the projection of p onto $\mathbb{F}[x]$ given by allowing the variables x_i to commute.

Example 2.6. If $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$, then $\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 2x_1x_2 \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. □

2.1.3 Independent Products

Let $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$. The product $p_1p_2\ldots p_n$ is an **independent product of** $p_1, \ldots, p_n$ if $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ all depend on different variables. Formally, $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$ form an independent product if whenever $\deg_i(p_j) > 0$ for some x_i and p_j, then $\deg_i(p_k) = 0$ for all $k \neq j$.

As a convention, if a polynomial p written as

$$p = p_1p_2\ldots p_n$$

is called an independent product, what is meant is that it is an independent product of $p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n$. Further, $p = p_1$ can be considered as an independent product of p_1 itself.

Example 2.7. Let $p_1 = x_1^2 - x_2^2$ and $p_2 = x_3$. Then $p_1p_2 = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)x_3$ is an independent product since p_1 only depends on the variables x_1 and x_2, and p_2 only depends on the variable x_3. □

2.2 Product Rules for NC Derivatives

This subsection proves some product rules for directional derivatives of NC polynomials.

2.2.1 Product Rule for First Derivatives

The following appears as Lemma 2.1 in [1], but the proof is included here for the convenience of the reader.

Lemma 2.8. *The product rule for the directional derivative of NC polynomials is*

$$D[p_1\, p_2, x_i, h] = D[p_1, x_i, h]\, p_2 \,+\, p_1\, D[p_2, x_i, h].$$

Proof. The directional derivative $D[m, x_i, h]$ of a product $m = m_1 m_2$ of noncommutative monomials m_1 and m_2 is the sum of terms produced by replacing one instance of x_i in m by h. This sum can be divided into two parts:

μ_1, the sum of terms whose h lie in the first $|m_1|$ letters, i.e. $D[m_1, x_i, h]m_2$

μ_2, the sum of terms whose h lie in the last $|m_2|$ letters, i.e. $m_1 D[m_2, x_i, h]$.

Therefore

$$D[m_1 m_2, x_i, h] = D[m_1, x_i, h]m_2 + m_1 D[m_2, x_i, h].$$

This product rule extends to the product of any two NC polynomials p_1 and p_2 as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} D[p_1 p_2, x_i, h] &= D\left[\left(\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_1} m_1\right)\left(\sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_2} m_2\right), x_i, h\right] \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_1} A_{m_2} D[m_1 m_2, x_i, h] \\ &= \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_1} A_{m_2} D[m_1, x_i, h] m_2 \\ &+ \sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} \sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_1} A_{m_2} m_1 D[m_2, x_i, h] \\ &= \left(\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} D[A_{m_1} m_1, x_i, h]\right)\left(\sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_2} m_2\right) \qquad (2.2.1) \\ &+ \left(\sum_{m_1 \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m_1} m_1\right)\left(\sum_{m_2 \in \mathcal{M}} D[A_{m_2} m_2, x_i, h]\right) \\ &= D[p_1, x_i, h] p_2 + p_1 D[p_2, x_i, h]. \end{aligned}$$

□

2.2.2 Chain Rule for Noncommutative Polynomials

Definition 2.9. Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{g \times g}$ be a $g \times g$ matrix. Define Ax to be

$$Ax = \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{1j} x_j, \sum_{j=2}^{g} a_{2j} x_j, \ldots, \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{gj} x_j\right).$$

The following proposition proves the chain rule for noncommutative polynomials in the special case that $y = Ax$, where A is a linear transformation.

Proposition 2.10. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ and $A \in \mathbb{F}^{g\times g}$. Then,*

$$D\left[p(Ax), x_j, h\right](x) = D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_i, h\right](Ax),$$

where $D[p, x_i, h](Ax)$ denotes plugging Ax into the NC polynomial $D[p, x_i, h](x)$.

Proof. Consider the case where $p = \sum_{i=1}^{g} b_i x_i + c$.

In this case, $D[p, x_i, h] = b_i$ for each i. Further, $p(Ax)$ is equal to

$$p(Ax) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} b_i \left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} a_{ik}x_k\right) + c = \sum_{k=1}^{g}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} b_i a_{ik}\right) x_k + c.$$

Differentiating gives

$$D\left[p(Ax), x_j, h\right](x) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} b_i a_{ij} = D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_i, h\right](Ax).$$

Next, consider the case where $p, q \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ are any two polynomials for which the proposition holds. Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
D\left[p(Ax)q(Ax), x_j, h\right](x) &= D\left[p(Ax), x_j, h\right](x)q(Ax) + p(Ax)D\left[q(Ax), x_j, h\right](x)\\
&= D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_i, h\right](Ax)q(Ax)\\
&+ p(Ax)D\left[q(x), \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_i, h\right](Ax)\\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij} D\left[p, x_i, h\right](Ax)q(Ax)\\
&+ \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij} p(Ax) D[q(x), x_i, h](Ax)\\
&= D\left[pq, \sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_i, h\right](Ax).
\end{aligned}$$

The proposition holds for all polynomials degree $d \leq 1$, and any polynomial of degree $d > 1$ is simply a sum of products of polynomials in smaller degree. The result therefore follows by induction and by linearity of the NC directional derivative. ☐

Corollary 2.11. *Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{g\times g}$ be a $g \times g$ matrix, let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC polynomial, and let $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be a commutative polynomial. Then*

$$D[p(Ax), q(x), h](x) = D\left[p, q\left(A^T x\right), h\right](Ax).$$

Proof. For q of degree $\ell = 1$ this follows from Proposition 2.10. Inductively assume the corollary is true for $\deg(q) < \ell$. By linearity, it suffices to consider monomials $q = x_{b_1} \dots x_{b_\ell}$. Applying Proposition 2.10 to $p(Ax)$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} D[p(Ax), q, h] &= D\left[D[p(Ax), x_{b_1} \dots x_{b_{\ell-1}}, h], x_{b_\ell}, h\right] \\ &= D\left[D\left[p, \left(x_{b_1} \dots x_{b_{\ell-1}}\right)\left(A^T x\right), h\right](Ax), x_{b_\ell}, h\right](x) \\ &= D\left[D\left[p, \left(x_{b_1} \dots x_{b_{\ell-1}}\right)\left(A^T x\right), h\right](x), x_{b_\ell}\left(A^T x\right), h\right](Ax) \\ &= D\left[p, \left(x_{b_1} \dots x_{b_\ell}\right)\left(A^T x\right), h\right](Ax) \\ &= D\left[p, q\left(A^T x\right), h\right](Ax). \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.2}$$

□

2.2.3 Product Rule for Independent Products

Proposition 2.12. *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$, let ℓ be a positive integer, and let $p_1, \dots, p_k \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be NC polynomials such that $p_1 \dots p_k$ is an independent product.*

1. *Fix x_i. Taking the derivative with respect to the full symbol x_i^ℓ may be done by the following product rule:*

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, x_i^\ell, h\right] &= D\left[p_1, x_i^\ell, h\right] p_2 \dots p_k \\ &+ p_1 D\left[p_2, x_i^\ell, h\right] \dots p_k \\ &\vdots \\ &+ p_1 p_2 \dots D\left[p_k, x_i^\ell, h\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.3}$$

2. *Taking the ℓ-Laplacian may be done by the following product rule:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lap}_\ell\left[p_1 p_2 \dots p_k, h\right] &= \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_1, h] p_2 \dots p_k \\ &+ p_1 \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_2, h] \dots p_k \\ &\vdots \\ &+ p_1 p_2 \dots \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_k, h]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2.4}$$

3. *If each p_i is ℓ-harmonic, then so is $p_1 p_2 \dots p_k$.*

Proof. Items (2) and (3) are straightforward corollaries to (1).

To prove (1), assume without loss of generality that $k = 2$, that p_2 doesn't depend on the variables $x_1, \dots, x_r$, and that p_1 doesn't depend on the variables

$x_{r+1}, \dots, x_g$. Proceed by induction on ℓ. The case where $\ell = 1$ follows by Lemma 2.8. Next assume the proposition for order less than ℓ. Then,

$$D\left[p_1 p_2, x_i^\ell, h\right] = D\left[D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right] p_2 + p_1 D\left[p_2, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right], x_i, h\right].$$

Since p_2 doesn't depend on the variables $x_1, \dots, x_r$, it follows that for all $i \le r$ and for all L,

$$D\left[D\left[p_2, x_i^L, h\right], x_i, h\right] = 0.$$

Similarly, for $i > k$ and for all L,

$$D\left[D\left[p_1, x_i^L, h\right], x_i, h\right] = 0.$$

If $i \le r$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[p_1 p_2, x_i^\ell, h\right] &= D\left[D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right] p_2 + p_1 D\left[p_2, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right], x_i, h\right] && (2.2.5)\\ &= D\left[D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right] p_2, x_i, h\right] \\ &= D\left[D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-1}, h\right], x_i, h\right] p_2 + D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-1}\right] D\left[p_2, x_i, h\right] \\ &= D\left[p_1, x_i^\ell, h\right] p_2 && (2.2.6)\\ &= D\left[p_1, x_i^\ell, h\right] p_2 + p_1 D\left[p_2, x_i^\ell, h\right]. \end{aligned}$$

A similar expression holds for $i > r$. □

2.3 Derivatives of Permutations

The NC directional derivative is well behaved under the permutation defined in (2.1.3).

2.3.1 Properties of Comm and Symm

Proposition 2.13. *If $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, then $\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 0$ if and only if $\mathrm{Symm}[p] = 0$.*

Proof. Each NC monomial $m = x_{\alpha_1} x_{\alpha_2} \dots x_{\alpha_d}$ has the same commutative collapse as another NC monomial $x_{\beta_1} x_{\beta_2} \dots x_{\beta_d}$ if and only if $x_{\beta_1} x_{\beta_2} \dots x_{\beta_d} = \sigma[x_{\alpha_1} x_{\alpha_2} \dots x_{\alpha_d}]$ for some $\sigma \in S_d$. This is because the set of commutative polynomials can be thought of as the set of noncommutative polynomials modulo permutation.

First consider a polynomial p of the form

$$p = \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma \sigma[m] \tag{2.3.1}$$

where m is some monomial of degree d. Suppose p satisfies

$$\mathrm{Comm}[p] = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma \right) \mathrm{Comm}[m] = 0.$$

Since $\mathrm{Comm}[m] \neq 0$, this is true if and only if $\displaystyle\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma = 0$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} d!\mathrm{Symm}[p] &= \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \tau \left[\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma \sigma[m] \right] \qquad (2.3.2) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sum_{\tau \in S_d} A_\sigma \tau\sigma[m]. \end{aligned}$$

For each σ, set $\tau = \omega\sigma^{-1}$ in (2.3.2) so that $A_\sigma \tau\sigma[m] = A_\sigma \omega[m]$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d!\mathrm{Symm}[p] &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sum_{\omega \in S_d} A_\sigma \omega[m] \qquad (2.3.3) \\ &= \left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma \right) \left(\sum_{\omega \in S_d} \omega[m] \right). \qquad (2.3.4) \end{aligned}$$

The expression (2.3.4) is zero if and only if $\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} A_\sigma = 0$, that is, if and only if $\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 0$.

In general, all polynomials are are simply sums of polynomials of the form of (2.3.1), so the proposition follows by linearity of the derivative. ☐

Proposition 2.14. *If* $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, *then* $\mathrm{Comm}[p] = \mathrm{Comm}[\mathrm{Symm}[p]]$

Proof. First,

$$\mathrm{Symm}[p - \mathrm{Symm}[p]] = \mathrm{Symm}[p] - \mathrm{Symm}[\mathrm{Symm}[p]] = \mathrm{Symm}[p] - \mathrm{Symm}[p] = 0.$$

Therefore Proposition 2.13 implies that

$$\mathrm{Comm}[p - \mathrm{Symm}[p]] = 0.$$

Adding $\mathrm{Comm}[\mathrm{Symm}[p]]$ to both sides shows that

$$\mathrm{Comm}[p] = \mathrm{Comm}[\mathrm{Symm}[p]].$$

☐

With these results in mind, for any commutative polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$, define the **symmetrization of a polynomial in** $\mathbb{F}[x]$, or $\mathrm{Symm}[p] \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, as the unique symmetrized NC polynomial for which $\mathrm{Comm}[\mathrm{Symm}[p]] = p$.

Example 2.15. If $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1^4 + 6x_1^2x_2^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Symm}[p] = x_1x_1x_1x_1 &+ x_1x_1x_2x_2 + x_1x_2x_1x_2 + x_2x_1x_1x_2 \qquad (2.3.5) \\ &+ x_1x_2x_2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2x_1 + x_2x_2x_1x_1 \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Note also that for the NC polynomial $q = x_1^4 + 6x_1^2x_2^2 \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, one has $\mathrm{Symm}[p] = \mathrm{Symm}[q]$. In general, the notation $\mathrm{Symm}[p]$ does not depend on whether p, as written, means a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}[x]$ or a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$. ☐

2.3.2 Derivatives of Permutations

The following proposition shows that the NC directional derivative operator and the permutation operation defined in (2.1.3) commute.

Proposition 2.16. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC homogeneous degree d polynomial, let $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be a commutative polynomial, and let $\sigma \in S_d$. Then,*

$$D[\sigma[p], q, h] = \sigma[D[p, q, h]].$$

Proof. It suffices to prove this proposition in the case that p is a monomial since the directional derivative and the permutation are both linear in p. Also, it suffices to prove this proposition in the case that $q = x_i$. If it is known that for each $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ and each x_i that

$$D[\sigma[p], x_i, h] = \sigma[D[p, x_i, h]],$$

then by repeatedly applying the derivative and by linearity, the result follows for arbitrary $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$.

For each monomial $p = x_{\alpha_1} \dots x_{\alpha_d}$, define $\mathrm{Subs}[p, h, j]$ to be the monomial produced by substituting h for the j^{th} entry of p. Define $\delta_{ij}[p]$ to be

$$\delta_{ij}[x_{\alpha_1} \dots x_{\alpha_d}] = \begin{cases} 1 & \alpha_j = i \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{2.3.6}$$

The directional derivative with respect to x_i is the sum of terms produced by replacing one instance of x_i in p by h. Therefore,

$$D[p, x_i, h] = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \delta_{ij}[p]\mathrm{Subs}[p, h, j].$$

Now $D[\sigma[p], x_i, h]$ is the sum of terms where each instance of x_i in $\sigma[p]$ is replaced by h. Therefore,

$$D[\sigma[p], x_i, h] = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \delta_{ij}[\sigma[p]]\mathrm{Subs}[\sigma[p], h, j].$$

The j^{th} entry of p is x_i if and only if the $\sigma(j)^{th}$ entry of $\sigma[p]$ is x_i. Turning this around, the j^{th} entry of $\sigma[p]$ is x_i if and only if the $\sigma^{-1}(j)^{th}$ entry of p is x_i. Therefore

$$\delta_{ij}[\sigma[p]] = \delta_{i\sigma^{-1}(j)}[p].$$

Further, the polynomial $\mathrm{Subs}[\sigma[p], h, j]$ is the monomial.

$$\mathrm{Subs}[\sigma[p], h, j] = x_{\sigma(1)} x_{\sigma(2)} \dots x_{\sigma(j-1)} h x_{\sigma(j+1)} \dots x_{\sigma(d)}.$$

This is equal to $\sigma[\mathrm{Subs}[p, h, \sigma^{-1}(j)]]$.

Putting all of this together gives,

$$\begin{aligned}
D[\sigma[p], x_i, h] &= \sum_{j=1}^{d} \delta_{i\sigma^{-1}(j)}[p]\sigma[\text{Subs}[p, h, \sigma^{-1}(j)]] \\
&= \sigma\left[\sum_{k=1}^{d} \delta_{ik}[p]\text{Subs}[p, h, k]\right] \\
&= \sigma[D[p, x_i, h]].
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.17. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC homogeneous degree d polynomial, and $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be a commutative homogeneous degree ℓ polynomial. Then*

$$D[\text{Symm}[p], q, h] = \text{Symm}[D[p, q, h]] = \text{Symm}[h^{\ell} q(\nabla)\text{Comm}[p]]. \tag{2.3.7}$$

Proof. By Propostion 2.16,

$$D[\text{Symm}[p], q, h] = D\left[\sum_{\sigma\in S_d} \sigma[p], q, h\right] = \sum_{\sigma\in S_d} \sigma[D[p, q, h]] = \text{Symm}[D[p, q, h]].$$

Further, by (1.1.2),

$$\text{Comm}[D[p, q, h]] = h^{\ell} q(\nabla)\text{Comm}[p].$$

Therefore by Proposition 2.14

$$\text{Symm}[D[p, q, h]] = \text{Symm}[\text{Comm}[D[p, q, h]] = \text{Symm}[h^{\ell} q(\nabla)\text{Comm}[p]].$$

□

Proposition 2.18. *Let p and q be as in Proposition 2.17, and let $\sigma \in S_d$ be a permutation. Then $D[p, q, h] = 0$ if and only if $D[\sigma[p], q, h] = 0$.*

Proof. If $D[p, q, h] = 0$, then

$$D[p, q, h] = 0 = \sigma[0] = \sigma[D[p, q, h]] = D[\sigma[p], q, h].$$

The other direction follows by applying σ^{-1} in the same way. □

2.3.3 Correspondence Between Noncommutative Symmetrized Polynomial Solutions and Commutative Polynomial Solutions of Partial Differential Equations

One special class of solutions to a NC partial differential equation of homogeneous order are those solutions which are symmetrized polynomials. The following theorem shows that in this case there exists a natural one-to-one correspondence between NC symmetrized polynomial solutions of a partial differential equation and commutative polynomial solutions to the same partial differential equation.

Theorem 2.19. *Let* $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ *be a NC, homogeneous degree* d *polynomial and* $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ *be a commutative homogeneous degree* ℓ *polynomial. Then the NC polynomial* $\mathrm{Symm}[p]$ *satisfies*

$$D[\mathrm{Symm}[p], q, h] = 0,$$

if and only if commutative polynomial $\mathrm{Comm}[p]$ *satisfies*

$$q\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_g}\right)\mathrm{Comm}[p] = q(\nabla)\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 0.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.13 implies that

$$D[\mathrm{Symm}[p], q, h] = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \mathrm{Comm}[D[p, q, h]] = h^\ell q(\nabla)\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 0.$$

Since $h \neq 0$, this implies that

$$D[\mathrm{Symm}[p], q, h] = 0 \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad q(\nabla)\mathrm{Comm}[p] = 0.$$

□

Example 2.20. The commutative polynomial p

$$p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^2 x_2^2 - x_1^2 x_3^2 - x_2^2 x_3^2 + \frac{1}{3}x_3^4$$

is harmonic. The NC polynomial

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Symm}[p] = \frac{1}{6}(&x_1x_1x_2x_2 + x_1x_2x_1x_2 + x_2x_1x_1x_2 + x_1x_2x_2x_1 + x_2x_1x_2x_1\\ &+ x_2x_2x_1x_1 + x_1x_1x_3x_3 + x_1x_3x_1x_3 + x_3x_1x_1x_3 + x_1x_3x_3x_1\\ &+ x_3x_1x_3x_1 + x_3x_3x_1x_1 + x_2x_2x_3x_3 + x_2x_3x_2x_3 + x_3x_2x_2x_3\\ &+ x_2x_3x_3x_2 + x_3x_2x_3x_2 + x_3x_3x_2x_2 + 2x_3^2)\end{aligned}$$

is therefore harmonic by Theorem 2.19. □

3 Classification of Noncommutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

Recall that $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is called **ℓ-harmonic** if

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] := D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^\ell, h\right] = 0.$$

In the special case that $\ell = 2$, such a polynomial is simply said to be harmonic, and the differential operator $D[p, \sum_{i=1}^g x_i^2, h] = \mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is called the noncommutative Laplacian. The main result of this section is the proof of Theorem 1.4, which classifies all NC ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$.

3.1 An Alternate Classification of the Set of Commutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

As noted in § 1.2.2, the set of ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}[x]$ is spanned by the set of polynomials of the form

$$(a_1x_1 + \ldots + a_gx_g)^d$$

where $a_1^\ell + \ldots + a_g^\ell = 0$ (see [19]). The following proposition gives an alternate characterization of the set of ℓ-harmonic commutative polynomials.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$, and let $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be a degree d commutative polynomial. Fix a variable x_i. Express p as*

$$p = \sum_{r=0}^{d} x_i^r p_r$$

where for each r either $p_r = 0$ or $\deg_i(p_r) = 0$. The polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ is ℓ-harmonic if and only if it equals

$$p = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{\ell} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k [p_r]. \tag{3.1.1}$$

Proof. To prove necessity, suppose p is ℓ-harmonic. For $r > d$, define $p_r = 0$. With this convention, p is equal to

$$p = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_i^r p_r.$$

Applying Δ_ℓ to p gives

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_\ell[p] &= \Delta_\ell \left[\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_i^r p_r \right] \qquad (3.1.2) \\ &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r(r-1)\ldots(r-\ell+1) x_i^{r-\ell}[p_r] + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_i^r \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) [p_r] \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} x_i^r \left((r+\ell)\ldots(r+1)p_{r+\ell} + \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) [p_r] \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This produces a recursion relation

$$p_{r+\ell} = \frac{-1}{(r+\ell)\ldots(r+1)} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) p_r.$$

Given some polynomials $p_0, \ldots, p_{\ell-1}$, the remaining $p_{\ell k + r}$ are given by

$$p_{\ell k + r} = \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k p_r$$

for each k and for $0 \leq r < \ell$. Therefore p is equal to

$$p = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k p_r.$$

From this (3.1.1) follows since $\left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k p_r$ equals zero for $\ell k > d \geq \deg(p_r)$.

To prove sufficiency, consider p as defined in (3.1.1). When $k = 0$ and $r < \ell$, the derivative $\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} x_i^{\ell k + r}$ is equal to

$$\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} x_i^r = 0.$$

When $k > 0$ and $r < \ell$, the derivative $\frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell} x_i^{\ell k + r}$ is equal to

$$\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} x_i^{\ell k + r} = (\ell k + r) \ldots (\ell[k-1] + r + 1) x_i^{\ell(k-1)+r}.$$

Therefore $\frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell}$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell} &= \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k [p_r] \qquad (3.1.3) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell(k-1) + r)!} x_i^{\ell(k-1)+r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k [p_r]. \end{aligned}$$

Reindexing (3.1.3) by substituting $k+1$ for k gives

$$\frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell} = -\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^{k+1} [p_r]. \qquad (3.1.4)$$

The polynomial $\left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) [p]$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) [p] &= \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right) \left[\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^k [p_r] \right] \qquad (3.1.5) \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell} \right)^{k+1} [p_r]. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that the differential operator $\left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)$ does not act on the variable x_i. Also note that (3.1.4) is the negative of (3.1.5), which implies that

$$\Delta_\ell[p] = \frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell} + \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)[p] = 0.$$

□

Definition 3.2. Let ℓ be a positive integer. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be equal to

$$p = \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} x_i^r p_r,$$

where each p_r is either equal to 0 or has degree 0 in x_i. Define $\mathrm{H}[p, x_i, \ell] \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ to be

$$\mathrm{H}[p, x_i, \ell] := \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left\lfloor \frac{\deg(p)}{\ell} \right\rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^k [p_r]. \tag{3.1.6}$$

Note that Proposition 3.1 implies that $\mathrm{H}[p, x_i, \ell]$ is harmonic. The following Lemma will be useful for generating commutative (and noncommutative) ℓ-harmonic polynomials with desirable properties.

Lemma 3.3. *Let r be an integer with $0 \le r < \ell$. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ be defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \frac{(-1)^Q (\ell Q + r)!}{Q!(\ell!)^Q} \sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{d}{\ell} \rfloor} \frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^k \left[x_{a_1}^\ell \dots x_{a_Q}^\ell\right] \\ &= \frac{(-1)^Q (\ell Q + r)!}{Q!(\ell!)^Q} \mathrm{H}\left[x_{a_1}^\ell \dots x_{a_Q}^\ell, x_i, \ell\right], \end{aligned}$$

where $i, a_1, \dots, a_Q$ are distinct positive integers. Then $\deg_i(p) = \ell Q + r$ and the coefficient of $x_i^{\ell Q + r}$ in p is 1.

Proof. Each polynomial

$$\frac{(-1)^k}{(\ell k + r)!} x_i^{\ell k + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^k \left[x_{a_1}^\ell \dots x_{a_Q}^\ell\right]$$

is either 0 or degree $\ell Q + r$ with degree $\ell k + r$ in x_i. The only piece of p which can possibly be of degree $\ell Q + r$ in x_i is

$$\frac{(-1)^Q (\ell Q + r)!}{Q!(\ell!)^Q} \frac{(-1)^Q}{(\ell Q + r)!} x_i^{\ell Q + r} \left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^Q \left[x_{a_1}^\ell \dots x_{a_Q}^\ell\right]. \tag{3.1.7}$$

It therefore suffices to show that (3.1.7) is equal to $x_i^{\ell Q + r}$.

One sees

$$\begin{aligned}\left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^Q &= \left(\sum_{j_1 \neq i} \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{j_1}^\ell}\right) \cdots \left(\sum_{j_Q \neq i} \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{j_Q}^\ell}\right) \\ &= \sum_{j_1 \neq i} \cdots \sum_{j_Q \neq i} \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{j_1}^\ell} \cdots \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{j_Q}^\ell}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1.8}$$

Since

$$\deg_j(x_{a_1}^\ell \ldots x_{a_Q})^\ell = \left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \ell & j \in \{a_1, \ldots, a_Q\} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{array} \right. ,$$

the only terms of (3.1.8) which don't annihilate $x_{a_1}^\ell \ldots x_{a_Q}^\ell$ are those where $j_1, \ldots, j_Q$ are equal to $a_1, \ldots, a_Q$ in some order. Since there are $Q!$ distinct orderings of $a_1, \ldots, a_Q$, one sees

$$\left(\Delta_\ell - \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x_i^\ell}\right)^Q x_{a_1}^\ell \ldots x_{a_Q}^\ell = Q! \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{a_1}^\ell} \cdots \frac{\partial^\ell}{x_{a_Q}^\ell} x_{a_1}^\ell \ldots x_{a_Q}^\ell = Q!(\ell!)^Q.$$

Inserting this into (3.1.7) gives the result. □

3.2 Simple Cases of Non-Commutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

First consider a couple of basic cases.

3.2.1 Degree Bounded by ℓ

It is straightforward to classify the set of ℓ-harmonic polynomials which are homogeneous of degree bounded by ℓ.

Proposition 3.4. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$, and let ℓ be a positive integer.*

1. *All homogeneous NC polynomials of degree $d < \ell$ are ℓ-harmonic.*
2. *The following is a basis over $\mathbb{F}$ for the set of NC ℓ-harmonic, homogeneous degree ℓ polynomials:*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{x_k^\ell - x_1^\ell : \ 2 \leq k \leq g\} \cup \{m \in \mathcal{M} : \ |m| = \ell, \ m \neq x_i^\ell, \ i = 1, \ldots, g\}. \tag{3.2.1}$$

Note that (1) proves Theorem 1.4 (1).

Proof. 1. Any polynomial p such that $\deg_i(p) < \ell$ satisfies $D[p, x_i^\ell, h] = 0$. All polynomials p with $\deg(p) < \ell$ satisfy $\deg_i(p) < \ell$ for each x_i, and must therefore be ℓ-harmonic.

2. The set $\mathcal{B}$ in (3.2.1) is clearly linearly independent. Note that all of the elements of

$$\{m \in \mathcal{M} : \ |m| = \ell, \ m \neq x_i^\ell, \ i = 1, \ldots, g\}$$

must satisfy $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = 0$ since all m in this set have $\deg_i(m) < \ell$ for each x_i. Also, note that for each k

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[x_k^\ell - x_1^\ell, h] = \ell! h^\ell - \ell! h^\ell = 0.$$

Therefore, the span $\mathcal{B}$ is contained in the set of NC ℓ-harmonic homogeneous degree ℓ polynomials.

Conversely, let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ be an ℓ-harmonic homogeneous degree ℓ polynomial of the form

$$p = \sum_{|m|=\ell} A_m m.$$

Applying Lap_ℓ to p gives

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = \ell! \left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} A_{x_k^\ell} \right) h^\ell = 0.$$

This implies that $\sum_{k=1}^{g} A_{x_k^\ell} = 0$. Subtracting $\left(\sum_{k=1}^{g} A_{x_k^\ell} \right) x_1^\ell$, i.e. 0, from p gives

$$p = \sum_{m \notin \{x_1^\ell, \ldots, x_g^\ell\}} A_m m + \sum_{k=2}^{g} A_{x_k^\ell} (x_k^\ell - x_1^\ell)$$

which is in the span of $\mathcal{B}$.

□

3.2.2 1-Harmonic Polynomials

In the case that $\ell = 1$, the ℓ-Laplacian is equal to

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i, h\right].$$

Definition 3.5. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$. The expression $D[p, x_i, x_j]$ is defined to be

$$D[p, x_i, x_j] := \frac{d}{dt} p(x_1, \ldots, x_i + t x_j, \ldots, x_g)|_{t=0}.$$

Essentially, $D[p, x_i, x_j]$ is $D[p, x_i, h]$ with each h replaced with x_j.

Example 3.6. For example, if $p(x_1, x_2) = x_1x_1x_2x_2x_1$ then

$$D[p, x_1^2, h] = 2hhx_2x_2x_1 + 2hx_1x_2x_2h + 2x_1hx_2x_2h.$$

Substituting x_1 in for h gives

$$D[p, x_1^2, x_1] = 6x_1x_1x_2x_2x_1.$$

Differentiation and substitution in this example essentially multiplied the polynomial p by 6. ☐

Proposition 3.7. *Every NC, homogeneous degree d, 1-harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_j, \tag{3.2.2}$$

such that $\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} = 0$ for each i.

Proof. Let $A \in \mathbb{F}^{g\times g}$ be an orthogonal matrix such that

$$A\begin{pmatrix}1\\0\\\vdots\\0\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}\begin{pmatrix}1\\1\\\vdots\\1\end{pmatrix}.$$

By Corollary 2.11, if $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ is 1-harmonic and homogeneous of degree d, then

$$D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i, h\right](x) = D[p\left(A^T x\right), \sqrt{g}x_1, h](Ax) = 0.$$

This implies that $\deg_1\left(p\left(A^T x\right)\right) = 0$ for the following reason:

Assume $\deg_1\left(p\left(A^T x\right)\right) = d_1 > 0$. Let $p\left(A^T x\right)$ be equal to

$$p\left(A^T x\right) = p' + r,$$

where p' is homogeneous in x_1 of degree $\deg(p(A^T x))$ and where $\deg_1(p') > \deg_1(r)$. Thus,

$$D[p, x_1, h] = D[p', x_1, h] + D[r, x_1, h] = 0.$$

Since r has a smaller degree in x_1 than p', which is homogeneous in x_1, this implies that $D[p', x_1, h] = 0$. Let p' be equal to

$$p' = \sum_{\sigma\in S_d} \sigma\left[x_1^{d_1} q_\sigma\right]$$

for some polynomials q_σ such that $\deg_1(q_\sigma) = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} D[p', x_1, h] &= D\left[\sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma\left[x_1^{d_1} q_\sigma\right]\right] \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma\left[D\left[x_1^{d_1}, x_1, h\right] q_\sigma\right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[x_1^{d_1}, x_1, h\right] &= D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[x_1^{d_1}, x_1, h\right]\right] \\ &= \mathrm{Symm}\left[h \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_1^{d_1}, x_1, h\right] \\ &= d_1 \mathrm{Symm}\left[h x_1^{d_1 - 1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$D\left[x_1^{d_1}, x_1, x_1\right] = d_1 \mathrm{Symm}\left[x_1 x_1^{d_1 - 1}\right] = d_1 x_1^{d_1}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D[p\left(A^T x\right), x_1, x_1] &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \sigma\left[D\left[x_1^{d_1}, x_1, x_1\right] q_\sigma\right] \\ &= d_1 p' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $p' = 0$, which is a contradiction. Therefore $\deg_1\left(p\left(A^T x\right)\right) = 0$.

Each term of $p\left(A^T x\right)$ contains no x_i. Therefore, each term of p is of the form

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j,$$

where each vector $(a_{i1}, \ldots, a_{ig})^T$ corresponds to a column of A which is not equal to the first column. Since A is orthogonal, and since the first column of A equals $\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}}(1, \ldots, 1)^T$, this implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} = 0.$$

□

3.2.3 The Two-Variable Case

The $\ell = 2$ case is the case of NC harmonic polynomials in two variables, which were classified in [1]. This classification is repeated in Proposition 5.2. For more general ℓ, the following proposition classifies all NC ℓ-harmonic polynomials in two variables.

Proposition 3.8. *Let $x = (x_1, x_2)$, and fix positive integers d and ℓ. The following is a basis for the space of NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$:*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_d = \{m \in \mathcal{M} :\ |m| = d,\ \deg_1(m), \deg_2(m) < \ell\} \\ \cup \{\mathrm{Symm}[\mathrm{H}[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell]] :\ d - r \geq \ell,\ 0 \leq r < \ell\}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.2.3}$$

Proof. The first set in $\mathcal{A}_d$ is either empty or contains distinct monomials with $\deg_1(m), \deg_2(m) < \ell$. Next consider the elements of the second set in $\mathcal{A}_d$. For each r, by construction the polynomial $\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{\ell-r}, x_1, \ell\right]$ is a sum of terms whose degree in x_1 is equivalent to r modulo ℓ. Further, the terms of $\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{\ell-r}, x_1, \ell\right]$ either have degree in x_2 greater than or equal to ℓ or degree in x_1 greater than or equal to ℓ. Therefore A_d is a linearly independent set. Further, Theorem 2.19 and Proposition 3.4 (1) imply that $\mathcal{A}_d$ is contained in the set of NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$.

It now suffices to show that $\mathcal{A}_d$ spans the set of NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$. Consider three cases.

1. For $d \leq \ell$, this follows by Proposition 3.4.

2. Assume the proposition for all degrees less than or equal to $d = \ell + k$, with $0 \leq k < \ell - 1$. Let $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ be a homogeneous degree $d + 1$, ℓ-harmonic polynomial. Then p is equal to

$$p = x_1 p_1(x) + x_2 p_2(x),$$

for some NC, homogeneous degree d polynomials $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$. By repeated application of the Product Rule, one sees

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] &= \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\ell}\binom{\ell}{n} D\left[x_1, x_i^n, h\right] D\left[p_1, x_i^{\ell-n}, h\right] \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{2}\sum_{n=0}^{\ell}\binom{\ell}{n} D\left[x_2, x_i^n, h\right] D\left[p_2, x_i^{\ell-n}, h\right] \\ &= x_1 \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_1, h] + x_2 \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_2, h] \\ &\quad + \ell h D\left[p_1, x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] + \ell h D\left[p_2, x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right].\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = 0$, this implies that p_1 and p_2 are ℓ-harmonic and that

$$D\left[p_1, x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] + D\left[p_2, x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right] = 0. \tag{3.2.4}$$

By induction, p_1 and p_2 are in the span of $\mathcal{A}_d$. If p_1 contains terms αm_1 with $\deg_1(m_1) < \ell - 1$ and $\deg_2(m_1) < \ell$, then $\deg_1(x_1 m_1), \deg_2(x_1 m_1) < \ell$, in which case $x_1 m_1$ is in the span of $\mathcal{A}_d$. Therefore, assume without loss of generality that p_1 contains no such terms. Similarly, assume that p_2

contains no terms m_2 with $\deg_1(m_2) < \ell$ and $\deg_2(m_2) < \ell - 1$. Express p_1 and p_2 as

$$p_1 = \sum_{\substack{\deg_1(m_1)=\ell-1\\ \deg_2(m_1)<\ell}} \alpha_{m,1} m_1 + \sum_{r=0}^{k} \beta_{r,1} \mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right]$$

$$p_2 = \sum_{\substack{\deg_2(m_2)=\ell-1\\ \deg_1(m_1)<\ell}} \alpha_{m,2} m_2 + \sum_{r=0}^{k} \beta_{r,2} \mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right].$$

Given $r \leq k < \ell - 1$, by the definition of $\mathrm{H}[p, x_1, \ell]$,

$$\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right] = \frac{1}{r!} x_1^r x_2^{d-r} - \frac{1}{(r+\ell)!} x_1^{r+\ell} x_2^{d-r-\ell}.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\partial^{\ell-1}}{\partial x_1^{\ell-1}} \mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right] = -\frac{1}{(r+1)!} x_1^{r+1} x_2^{d-r-\ell} \tag{3.2.5}$$

$$\frac{\partial^{\ell-1}}{\partial x_2^{\ell-1}} \mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right] = \frac{(d-r)!}{r!(d-r-\ell+1)!} x_1^r x_2^{d-r-\ell+1}. \tag{3.2.6}$$

Further, for each monomial m_1, with $|m_1| = d$ and $\deg_1(m_1) = \ell-1$, there exists a permutation $\sigma \in S_d$ such that $m_1 = \sigma\left[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d-\ell+1}\right]$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[m_1, x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] &= \sigma\left[D\left[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d-\ell+1}, x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right]\right] \\ &= (\ell-1)!\sigma\left[h^{\ell-1} x_2^{d-\ell+1}\right] \\ &= (\ell-1)! m_1(h, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\deg_2(m_2) = \ell - 1$, then $D[m_2, x_2^{\ell-1}, h] = (\ell-1)! m_2(x_1, h)$. Therefore plugging these expressions as well as (3.2.5) and (3.2.6) into (3.2.4) gives

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[p_1, x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] + D\left[p_2, x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right] = &\sum_{\substack{\deg_1(m_1)=\ell-1\\ \deg_2(m_1)<\ell}} (\ell-1)!\alpha_{m,1} m_1(h, x_2) \\ &- \sum_{r=0}^{k} \beta_{r,1} \frac{1}{(r+1)!} \mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1} x_1^{r+1} x_2^{d-r-\ell}\right] \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{\deg_2(m_2)=\ell-1\\ \deg_1(m_2)<\ell}} (\ell-1)!\alpha_{m,2} m_2(x_1, h) \\ &+ \sum_{r=0}^{k} \beta_{r,2} \frac{(d-r)!}{r!(d-r-\ell+1)!} \mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1} x_1^r x_2^{d-r-\ell+1}\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Grouping pieces with the same degree in x_1 and in x_2 gives

$$\sum_{m_1}(\ell-1)!\alpha_{m,1}m_1(h,x_2)+\beta_{0,2}\frac{d!}{(d+1-\ell)!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_2^{k+1}]=0 \quad (3.2.7)$$

$$-\beta_{0,1}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1x_2^k]+\beta_{1,2}\frac{(d-1)!}{(d-\ell)!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1x_2^k]=0 \quad (3.2.8)$$

$$\vdots$$

$$-\beta_{k-1,1}\frac{1}{(k-1)!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1^kx_2]+\beta_{k,2}\frac{\ell!}{k!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1^kx_2]=0 \quad (3.2.9)$$

$$-\beta_{k,1}\frac{1}{k!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1^{k+1}]+\sum_{m_2}(\ell-1)!\alpha_{m,2}m_2(x_1,h)=0. \quad (3.2.10)$$

This first line (3.2.7) implies that the $\alpha_{m_1,1}$ are all equal and determined by $\beta_{0,2}$ since the coefficients of each monomial in

$$\beta_{0,2}\frac{d!}{(d+1-\ell)!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_2^{k+1}]$$

are all equal. Similarly, the last line (3.2.10) implies that the $\alpha_{m_2,2}$ are all equal and determined by $\beta_{k,1}$ since the coefficients of each monomial in

$$-\beta_{k,1}\frac{1}{k!}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}x_1^{k+1}]$$

are all equal. Further, the set of p_1 and p_2 which produce solutions to the system of equations defined by line (3.2.8) through (3.2.9), as determined by the $\alpha_{m,n}$ and $\beta_{i,j}$, is $(k+1)$-dimensional. The span of the second set of $\mathcal{A}_{d+1}$ as defined in (3.2.3) is also $(k+1)$-dimensional (in this case with $d+1$ instead of d) and is contained in the set of possible ℓ-harmonic polynomials of the form $x_1p_1+x_2p_2$. Therefore p must be in the span of (3.2.3), which implies that $\mathcal{A}_{d+1}$ spans the set of NC ℓ-harmonic, homogeneous degree $d+1$ polynomials.

3. Now assume the proposition for all degrees less than or equal to $d=\ell+k$, with $k\geq\ell$. Let $p\in\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC, homogeneous degree $d+1$, ℓ-harmonic polynomial. There does not exist a monomial m with $\deg_1(m)<\ell$ and $\deg_2(m)<\ell$ since $d>2(\ell-1)$, hence p contains no terms in the first set of (3.2.3). As in step 2,

$$\begin{aligned}p&=x_1p_1+x_2p_2\\&=x_1\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1}\beta_{r,1}\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^rx_2^{d-r},x_1,\ell\right]\right]\\&\quad+x_2\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1}\beta_{r,2}\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^rx_2^{d-r},x_1,\ell\right]\right],\end{aligned}$$

with the additional requirement that

$$\begin{aligned} &D\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1}\beta_{r,1}\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] \\ &+ D\left[\sum_{r=0}^{\ell-1}\beta_{r,2}\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.11}$$

One sees that for each r,

$$D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right]$$

must be ℓ-harmonic and have terms with degree in x_1 equivalent to $r+1$ modulo ℓ, and

$$D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right]$$

must be ℓ-harmonic and have terms with degree in x_1 equivalent to r modulo ℓ. It is also clear by the definition of $\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]$ that these derivatives are nonzero. Since these derivatives are symmetrized and ℓ-harmonic in x_1 and x_2 with $\deg(x_1), \deg(x_2)$ specified modulo ℓ, Theorem 2.19 and Proposition 3.1 imply what they are equal to, up to a nonzero scalar multiple. Specifically, for $0 \leq r < \ell - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] \\ &= C_{r,1}\mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^{r+1} x_2^{d-\ell-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right] \\ &= C_{r,2}\mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^r x_2^{d+1-\ell-r}, x_1, \ell\right]\right] \end{aligned} \tag{3.2.13}$$

for some nonzero scalars $C_{r,1}$ and $C_{r,2}$. For $r = \ell - 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d+1-\ell}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_1^{\ell-1}, h\right] \\ &= C_{\ell-1,1}\mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}\left[x_2^{d+1-2\ell}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &D\left[\mathrm{Symm}\left[\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d+1-\ell}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], x_2^{\ell-1}, h\right] \\ &= C_{\ell-1,2}\mathrm{Symm}\left[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}\left[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d+2-2\ell}, x_1, \ell\right]\right], \end{aligned}$$

some nonzero $C_{\ell-1,1}$ and $C_{\ell-1,2}$. Grouping terms with the same degree in x_1 and x_2 modulo ℓ in (3.2.11) gives

$$\begin{aligned} C_{\ell-1,1}\beta_{\ell-1,1}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_2^{d+1-\ell}, x_1, \ell]] + C_{0,2}\beta_{0,2}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_2^{d+1-\ell}, x_1, \ell]] &= 0 \\ C_{0,1}\beta_{0,1}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_1 x_2^{d-\ell}, x_1, \ell]] + C_{1,2}\beta_{1,2}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_1 x_2^{d-\ell}, x_1, \ell]] &= 0 \\ &\vdots \\ C_{\ell-2,1}\beta_{\ell-2,1}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d+2-2\ell}, x_1, \ell]]& \\ +C_{\ell-1,2}\beta_{\ell-1,2}\mathrm{Symm}[h^{\ell-1}\mathrm{H}[x_1^{\ell-1} x_2^{d+2-2\ell}, x_1, \ell]] &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the space of suitable p_1 and p_2 is $(\ell - 1)$-dimensional, which is the same as the dimension of the span of $\mathcal{A}_{d+1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_{d+1}$ spans the set of NC ℓ-harmonic, homogeneous degree $d + 1$ polynomials.

$\square$

3.3 Breaking Up ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

This subsection shows how to express NC ℓ-harmonic polynomials as a sum of manageable pieces. The results of this section will be useful in proving Theorem 1.4.

3.3.1 Breaking up by Degree

Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ be a NC polynomial. Fix a variable x_i. One may express p uniquely as

$$p = \sum_{j=0}^{finite} p_j$$

where each p_j is either homogeneous of degree j in x_i or equal to 0. Given a positive integer ℓ, one may group the p_j together by equivalence classes to get

$$p_{[k]} = \sum_{j \equiv k \text{ mod } \ell} p_j,$$

so that

$$p = p_{[0]} + p_{[1]} + \ldots + p_{[\ell-1]}.$$

Proposition 3.9. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$, let ℓ be a positive integer, and fix a variable x_i. If $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic, then for each k, the polynomial $p_{[k]}$ is ℓ-harmonic.*

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$. Given a NC polynomial which is homogeneous in x_i, taking the derivative of a with respect to x_i^ℓ either annihilates it or decreases its degree in x_i by ℓ, whereas taking the derivative of that polynomial with respect to x_j^ℓ, for $j \neq i$, either annihilates it or maintains its degree in x_i. Therefore, $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_{[k]}, h]$ is either zero or a sum of terms whose degree in x_i equals k modulo ℓ. Since $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = \mathrm{Lap}_\ell\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} p_{[k]}, h\right]$, for each k the only possible terms of $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ which have degree in x_i equivalent to k mod ℓ are the terms of $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_{[k]}, h]$. If p is ℓ-harmonic, then $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_{[k]}, h] = 0$ for each k. $\square$

3.3.2 Factoring out x_i

Lemma 3.10. *Fix a variable x_i and fix positive integers d and d_i, with $d_i \leq d$. There exist $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N \in S_d$ (not necessarily unique) such that the set*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{\sigma_j[x_i^{d_i} m] : \ j \in \{1, \ldots, N\}, \ m \in \mathcal{M}, \ |m| = d - d_i, \ \deg_i(m) = 0\} \quad (3.3.1)$$

is a basis for the set of NC, homogeneous degree d polynomials which are homogeneous of degree d_i in x_i.

Proof. Let $I_1, \ldots, I_N$ be the distinct subsets of of $\{1, \ldots, d\}$ of size d_i. Choose $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N \in S_d$ such that for each j, the set $\{\sigma_j(1), \ldots, \sigma_j(d_i)\}$ is equal to I_j. Let $m \in \mathcal{M}$ be the monomial

$$m = x_{a_1} \ldots x_{a_d},$$

with $\deg_i(m) = d_i$. Let the set $\{k : \ a_k = i\}$ be equal to I_j for some j. Then $\sigma_j[m]$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_j[m] &= x_{a_{\sigma_j(1)}} \ldots x_{a_{\sigma_j(d)}} \\ &= x_i^{d_i} x_{a_{\sigma_j(d_i+1)}} \ldots x_{a_{\sigma_j(d)}}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.2}$$

since

$$\{\sigma_j(1), \ldots, \sigma_j(d_i)\} = I_j = \{k : \ a_k = i\}.$$

Let $\overline{m}$ be equal to

$$\overline{m} = x_{a_{\sigma(d_i+1)}} \ldots x_{a_{\sigma(d)}}$$

so that

$$m = \sigma_j[x_i^{d_i}\overline{m}].$$

Therefore, the set $\mathcal{B}$ from (3.3.1) spans the set of homogeneous degree d polynomials which are homogeneous of degree d_i in x_i.

To prove linear independence, it suffices to show that each monomial $\sigma_j[x_i^{d_i}m]$ is distinct. Suppose

$$\sigma_{j_1}[x_i^{d_i}m_1] = \sigma_{j_2}[x_i^{d_i}m_2].$$

The monomial $\sigma_{j_1}[x_i^{d_i}m_1]$ has an x_i at each entry corresponding to an element of I_{j_1}, and the monomial $\sigma_{j_2}[x_i^{d_i}m_2]$ has an x_i at each entry corresponding to an element of I_{j_2}. Therefore $j_1 = j_2$. Further,

$$x_i^{d_i}m_1 = x_i^{d_i}m_2,$$

which implies that $m_1 = m_2$. ☐

Proposition 3.11. *Fix a variable x_i, and fix positive integers d and d_i, with $d_i \leq d$. Let $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N \in S_d$ be a set of permutations satisfying the conclusions of Lemma 3.10.*

1. *Fix a variable x_i. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be homogeneous of degree d with $\deg_i(p) = d_i$. The polynomial p may be expressed uniquely as*

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j[x_i^{d_i}p_j] + r, \tag{3.3.3}$$

   such that the following hold.

   - *each polynomial p_j is homogeneous of degree $d - d_i$ with $\deg_i(p_j) = 0$;*
   - *the polynomial r is homogeneous of degree d with $deg_i(r) < d_i$.*

2. *If p is ℓ-harmonic, then each p_j in (3.3.3) is also ℓ-harmonic.*

Proof. 1. If p is degree d_i in x_i then let $p = q + r$, where q is homogeneous of degree d_i in x_i, and where $\deg_i(r) < d$. By Lemma 3.10, q is uniquely expressed as

$$q = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m,j} \sigma_j [x_i^{d_i} m],$$

for some scalars $A_{m,j} \in \mathbb{F}$. For each j, let $p_j = \displaystyle\sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_{m,j} m$. Then (3.3.3) holds.

2. Suppose $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = 0$. Applying Lap_ℓ to (3.3.3) yields

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[r, h] + D\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j [x_i^{d_i} p_j], x_i^\ell, h\right] \tag{3.3.4}$$

$$+ D\left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j [x_i^{d_i} p_j], \sum_{k \neq i} x_k^\ell, h\right] = 0. \tag{3.3.5}$$

The first two terms, line (3.3.4), have degree less than d_i in x_i whereas the third term, line (3.3.5), is either 0 or homogeneous of degree d_i in x_i. Therefore (3.3.5) must be 0.

Examining (3.3.5) more closely shows that for each j,

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[\sigma_j [x_i^{d_i} p_j], \sum_{k \neq i} x_k^\ell, h\right] &= \sigma_j \left[x_i^{d_i} D\left[p_j, \sum_{k \neq i} x_k^\ell, h\right]\right] \\ &= \sigma_j \left[x_i^{d_i} \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_j, h]\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3.6}$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[x_i^{d_i} \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_j, h]\right] = 0. \tag{3.3.7}$$

By Lemma 3.10, the equation (3.3.7) is a unique representation of the zero polynomial. Therefore, for each j, the expression $\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_j, h]$ is equal to zero, or in other words, each p_j is ℓ-harmonic.

□

3.4 "Fully Degree ℓ" Polynomials

A key step to proving Theorem 1.4 is to prove it in the special case of polynomials $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ which are sums of terms which, for each variable x_i, are homogeneous of either degree 0 or degree ℓ in x_i. Such polynomials are said to be **fully**

degree ℓ . An equivalent definition is to say that a polynomial is fully degree ℓ if it is a linear combination of permutations of monomials of the form $x_{a_1}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_d}^{\ell}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_d$ are distinct positive integers.

Definition 3.12. Fix positive integers ℓ and d. Let $P_{\ell,d} \subset S_{\ell d}$ be the set of permutations defined by

$$P_{\ell,d} := \left\{ \sigma \in S_{\ell d} : \ \exists \tau \in S_d, \ \forall (a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d, \ \sigma \left[x_{a_1}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_d}^{\ell} \right] = x_{a_{\tau(1)}}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_{\tau(d)}}^{\ell} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.13. *Fix positive integers* ℓ *and* d*. The set* $P_{\ell,d}$ *is a subgroup of* $S_{\ell d}$*.*

Proof. If $\sigma, \omega \in P_{\ell,d}$, then consider $\sigma^{-1}\omega$. We have for all $(a_1, \dots, a_d) \in \mathbb{Z}^d$

$$\omega \left[x_{a_1}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_d}^{\ell} \right] = x_{a_{\nu(1)}}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_{\nu(d)}}^{\ell}$$

for some fixed $\nu \in S_d$ and

$$\sigma \left[x_{a_1}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_d}^{\ell} \right] = x_{a_{\tau(1)}}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_{\tau(d)}}^{\ell}$$

for some fixed $\tau \in S_d$.

This implies that for each i, σ sends $1 + \ell(\tau(i) - 1), \dots, \ell + \ell(\tau(i) - 1)$ to $1 + \ell(i-1), \dots, \ell + \ell(i-1)$ in some order.

Therefore, for each i, σ^{-1} sends $1 + \ell(i-1), \dots, \ell + \ell(i-1)$ to $1 + \ell(\tau(i) - 1), \dots, \ell + \ell(\tau(i) - 1)$ in some order.

Therefore, for each i, σ^{-1} sends $1 + \ell(\tau^{-1}(i) - 1), \dots, \ell + \ell(\tau^{-1}(i) - 1)$ to $1 + \ell(i-1), \dots, \ell + \ell(i-1)$ in some order.

Therefore, for each i, $\sigma^{-1}\omega$ sends $1 + \ell(\nu(\tau^{-1}(i)) - 1), \dots, \ell + \ell(\nu(\tau^{-1}(i)) - 1)$ to $1 + \ell(i-1), \dots, \ell + \ell(i-1)$ in some order.

Therefore,

$$\sigma^{-1}\omega \left[x_{a_1}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_d}^{\ell} \right] = x_{a_{\nu(\tau^{-1}(1))}}^{\ell} \dots x_{a_{\nu(\tau^{-1}(d))}}^{\ell}.$$

Therefore $\sigma^{-1}\omega \in P_{\ell,d}$. ☐

Definition 3.14. Let d, g be positive integers with $d \leq g$. Let $S_{d,g} \subset S_g$ be the set

$$S_{d,g} := \{ \sigma \in S_g : \ \sigma(i) = i \ \forall \ 1 \leq i \leq d \}.$$

Lemma 3.15. *Let* d, g *be positive integers with* $d \leq g$*. Then* $S_{d,g}$ *is a subgroup of* S_g*.*

Proof. Let $\sigma, \tau \in S_{d,g}$. Then $\sigma(i) = \tau(i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Consequently, $\sigma^{-1}(i) = i$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. Therefore, for $1 \leq i \leq d$,

$$\sigma^{-1}\tau(i) = i.$$

Therefore $\sigma^{-1}\tau \in S_{d,g}$. ☐

Lemma 3.16. *Let* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$*, and fix integers* ℓ *and* d *with* $d \le g$*. Let* $\sigma_1 P_{\ell,d}, \ldots, \sigma_M P_{\ell,d}$ *be the distinct left cosets of* $P_{\ell,d}$ *in* $S_{\ell d}$*, and let* $\tau_1 S_{d,g}, \ldots, \tau_N S_{d,g}$ *be the distinct left cosets of* $S_{d,g}$ *in* S_g*. Let* $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ *be a homogeneous degree* ℓd*, fully degree* ℓ *polynomial. Then* p *can be uniquely expressed as*

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)} \right]. \tag{3.4.1}$$

for some scalars $A_{i,j}$*. Further, if* p *is* ℓ*-harmonic, then for each* i *the polynomial*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)}$$

is also ℓ*-harmonic.*

Proof. **Existence of representation**. By definition, a homogeneous degree ℓd, fully degree ℓ polynomial is a linear combination of monomials of the form

$$\sigma \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau(d)} \right]$$

for some $\sigma \in S_{\ell d}$ and $\tau \in S_g$. Such a monomial is therefore of the form

$$\sigma_i \tilde{\sigma} \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau(d)} \right]$$

for some σ_i and some $\tilde{\sigma} \in P_{\ell,d}$. Since $\tilde{\sigma} \in P_{\ell,d}$, it must be that

$$\tilde{\sigma} \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau(d)} \right] = x^{\ell}_{\tau'(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau'(d)}$$

for some $\tau' \in S_d$. Further, we can take τ' to be equal to $\tau_j \tilde{\tau}$, for some τ_j and some $\tilde{\tau} \in S_{d,g}$. Since $\tilde{\tau}(i) = i$ for $1 \le i \le d$, we have

$$\sigma \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau(d)} \right] = \sigma_i \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)} \right].$$

Therefore every homogeneous degree ℓd, fully degree ℓ polynomial is of the form (3.4.1).

Uniqueness of representation. To see uniqueness, suppose

$$\sigma_{i_1} \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(d)} \right] = \sigma_{i_2} \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_2}(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_2}(d)} \right].$$

Then we have

$$x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(d)} = \sigma_{i_1}^{-1} \sigma_{i_2} \left[x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_2}(1)} \cdots x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_2}(d)} \right].$$

In this case, the indices $\tau_{j_1}(1), \ldots, \tau_{j_1}(d)$ must be some permutation of the indicies $\tau_{j_2}(1), \ldots, \tau_{j_2}(d)$ by definition of the permutation operation on NC monomials. This implies that $\sigma_{i_1}^{-1} \sigma_{i_2} \in P_{\ell,d}$, which implies that σ_{i_1} and σ_{i_2} are in the same left coset of $P_{\ell,d}$, which implies, since the σ_i were chosen to be in distinct cosets, that $i_1 = i_2$. So now we have

$$x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_1}(d)} = x^{\ell}_{\tau_{j_2}(1)} \dots x_{\tau_{j_2}(d)}.$$

This implies that $\tau_{j_1}(i) = \tau_{j_2}(i)$ for $1 \le i \le d$. Therefore $\tau_{j_1}^{-1}\tau_{j_2}(i) = i$ for $1 \le i \le d$. Therefore τ_{j_1} and τ_{j_2} are in the same left coset of $S_{d,g}$, so $j_1 = j_2$. Therefore for each distinct i and j the terms of (3.4.1) are distinct monomials, which implies that the representation given in (3.4.1) is unique.
ℓ-harmonicity. Finally, suppose p is ℓ-harmonic. Then

$$\mathrm{Lap}_{\ell}[p] = \ell! \sum_{i=1}^{M} \sigma_i \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} \left(h^{\ell} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(2)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)} + \dots + x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d-1)} h^{\ell} \right) \right] = 0 \tag{3.4.2}$$

Consider the representation of $\mathrm{Lap}_{\ell}[p, h]$ given by (3.4.1), with h in the place of x_{g+1}. We get said representation by grouping together terms with the same σ_i. We see that the monomials

$$\sigma_i[h^{\ell} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(2)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)}], \dots, \sigma_i[x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d-1)} h^{\ell}]$$

over all τ_j are the only monomials arising from σ_i in the equation (3.4.1). Therefore

$$\mathrm{Lap}_{\ell} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)} \right] =$$

$$= \ell! \sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} \left(h^{\ell} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(2)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)} + \dots + x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d-1)} h^{\ell} \right) = 0,$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} A_{i,j} x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(1)} \dots x^{\ell}_{\tau_j(d)}$$

is ℓ-harmonic. □

Proposition 3.17. *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$, let ℓ and d be positive integers, with $\ell \ge 2$ and $g \ge 2d$. The set $\mathcal{B}_{g,\ell,d}$ defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}_{g,\ell,d} := \left\{ \sigma \left[\left(x^{\ell}_{\tau(1)} - x^{\ell}_{\tau(2)} \right) \dots \left(x^{\ell}_{\tau(2d-1)} - x^{\ell}_{\tau(2d)} \right) \right] : \sigma \in S_{\ell d}, \tau \in S_g \right\}$$

spans the set of NC, ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ, homogeneous degree ℓd polynomials.

Proof. First note that the elements of each $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$ are ℓ-harmonic by Proposition 2.12, since each element is a permuation of an independent product of symmetrized ℓ-harmonic polynomials. Also note that if $b \in \mathcal{B}_{g,d-1,\ell}$ and $\deg_i(b) = \deg_j(b) = 0$, where $i \neq j$, then $(x_i^\ell - x_j^\ell)b \in \mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$.

Proceed by induction on d. For $d = 1$, by Proposition 3.4 the only ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ polynomials which are homogeneous of degree ℓ are linear combinations of $x_k^\ell - x_1^\ell$, which are in $\mathcal{B}_{g,\ell,1}$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}_{g,\ell,1}$ spans the set of NC, ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ, homogeneous degree ℓd polynomials.

Next, assume for $D < d$ and any G that $\mathcal{B}_{G,D,\ell}$ spans the set of NC, ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ, homogeneous degree ℓD polynomials in the variables $x_1, \ldots, x_G$. Suppose $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ, and homogeneous of degree ℓd. Since p is fully degree ℓ, $\deg_g(p)$ is either 0 or ℓ. Consider both of these cases.

Case 1: Suppose $\deg_g(p) = 0$. By Lemma 3.16, it suffices to consider the case where p is of the form

$$p = \sum_{\tau \in S_{g-1}} A_\tau x_{\tau(1)}^\ell \ldots x_{\tau(d)}^\ell.$$

Express p as

$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_i^\ell p_i,$$

where the p_i are defined by

$$p_i = \sum_{\tau(1)=i} A_\tau x_{\tau(2)}^\ell \ldots x_{\tau(d)}^\ell.$$

Note that $\deg_i(p_i) = 0$ for each i, and hence $x_i^\ell p_i$ is an independent product. Taking the ℓ-Laplacian gives

$$\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p, h] = \ell! \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} h^\ell p_i + \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_i^\ell \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_i, h] = 0. \tag{3.4.3}$$

For each i, the terms of (3.4.3) whose leftmost variables are x_i^ℓ are $x_i^\ell \mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p_i, h]$, which must therefore equal 0. Therefore each p_i is ℓ-harmonic, fully degree ℓ, and homogeneous of degree $\ell(d-1)$ and degree 0 in x_g. Express each p_i as

$$p_i = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{i,k} b_k,$$

where $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ are in $\mathcal{B}_{g-1,d-1,\ell}$ and the $\beta_{i,k}$ are some scalars. Since $\deg_i(p_i) = 0$, choose the $\beta_{i,k}$ so that if $\deg_i(b_k) > 0$ then $\beta_{i,k} = 0$. Also note that the terms of (3.4.3) with h^ℓ as the leftmost variables are

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} h^\ell p_i$$

which must therefore equal zero. This implies that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_g^\ell p_i = 0.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} p &= p - \sum_{i=1}^{g-1} x_g^\ell p_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{g-1}\sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{i,k}(x_i^\ell - x_g^\ell) b_k. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.4}$$

Since $\beta_{i,k}$ is nonzero only if $\deg_i(b_k) = 0$, and since $\deg_g(b_k) = 0$ for all k, we see that (3.4.4) is in the span of $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$.

Case 2: Suppose $\deg_g(p) = \ell$. Express p as in Proposition 3.11 to get

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[x_g^\ell p_j\right] + r,$$

where $\deg_g(p_j) = 0$ for each j and $\deg_g(r) < \ell$. Since p is a sum of fully degree ℓ monomials, we can take p_j and r to be a sum of fully degree ℓ terms. In particular, this implies that since $\deg_g(r) < \ell$, that $\deg_g(r) = 0$. By Proposition 3.11, since p is ℓ-harmonic, so is each p_j. Since each p_j is ℓ-harmonic and degree 0 in x_g, by induction, we may express each p_j as a sum

$$p_j = \sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{j,k} b_k,$$

where $b_1, \ldots, b_k$ are in $\mathcal{B}_{g-1,d-1,\ell}$. Each element of $\mathcal{B}_{g-1,d-1,\ell}$ has only $2(d-1)$ variables. Since $g \geq 2d$, for each b_k there exists a variable x_{ν_k}, with $1 \leq \nu_k < g$ such that $\deg_{\nu_k}(b_k) = 0$. Therefore the polynomial

$$(x_g^\ell - x_{\nu_k}^\ell) b_k$$

is in $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$. Therefore the polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} p - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{j,k}(x_g^\ell - x_{\nu_j}^\ell) b_k\right] &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{j,k} x_g^\ell b_k\right] + r \\ &\quad - \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{j,k}(x_g^\ell - x_{\nu_k}^\ell) b_k\right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[\sum_{k=1}^{M} \beta_{j,k} x_{\nu_k}^\ell b_k\right] + r \end{aligned} \tag{3.4.5}$$

is ℓ-harmonic and is in the span of $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$ if and only if p is. Also note that (3.4.5) has degree 0 in x_g and is fully degree ℓ and homogeneous of degree ℓd. By Case 1, (3.4.5) is in the span of $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$, which implies that p is in the span of $\mathcal{B}_{g,d,\ell}$.

$\square$

3.5 A Classification of the Set of Noncommutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials

The following proposition gives a classification of the set of NC ℓ-harmonic polynomials. From this proposition will follow Theorem 1.4.

Proposition 3.18. *Let* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$. *Let* $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ *be a NC, homogeneous degree* d *polynomial, let* $\ell \geq 2$ *be a positive integer, and suppose* $g \geq d$. *The polynomial* p *is* ℓ*-harmonic if and only if it is a finite sum*

$$p = \sum_{k}^{finite} \sigma_k[p_{k,1} \ldots p_{k,m_k}] \tag{3.5.1}$$

for some $\sigma_k \in S_d$*, possibly repeating, and for some NC, symmetrized,* ℓ*-harmonic, homogeneous polynomials* $p_{k,i} \in \mathbb{F}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_g\rangle$ *such that for each* k*, the product* $p_{k,1} \ldots p_{k,m_k}$ *is homogeneous of degree* ℓd *and is an independent product.*

If desired, one may additionally impose the following technical conditions on the $p_{k,i}$ *in (3.5.1).*

1. *For each* i, j, k*,*
$$\deg_j(p_{k,i}) \leq \max\{\deg_j(p), \ell\}.$$
2. *Each polynomial* $p_{k,1} \ldots p_{k,M_k}$ *depends on at most* d *variables.*

Proof. Consider a polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$. Proceed by induction on $\deg(p)$ to shoe that if p is ℓ-harmonic then it is of the form of (3.5.1).

For $d \leq \ell$, the elements of the basis described in Proposition 3.4 are also all of the form of (3.5.1). Note that a polynomial x_i^k, with $k < \ell$, is a NC, symmetrized, ℓ-harmonic polynomial.

Suppose now that the proposition holds for all degrees less than some $d > \ell$. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC, ℓ-harmonic, homogeneous degree d polynomial. The following steps will prove algorithmically that p is of the form of (3.5.1).

1. First we reduce the problem of proving p is of the form of (3.5.1) to proving that some other polynomial r, with $\deg_i(r) \leq \ell$ for all i, is of the form of (3.5.1).
    (a) Let $d_* := d$.
    (b) Let $i := 1$.

(c) At this step, the following conditions hold:

$$\begin{gathered} d_* > \ell \\ \deg_j(p) \leq d_*,\ j \geq i \\ \deg_j(p) < d_*,\ j < i. \end{gathered}$$

Choose $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_N$ which satisfy the conclusions of Lemma 3.10 and express p as

$$p = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j \left[x_i^{d_*} q_j \right] + r,$$

with $\deg_i(r) < d_*$, $\deg_i(q_j) = 0$ for each j, and, by Proposition 3.11, each q_j being ℓ-harmonic. By the induction hypothesis, since $\deg(q_j) = d - d_* < d$, each q_j may be expressed as a finite sum

$$q_j = \sum_k q_{j,k},$$

where each $q_{j,k}$ is of the form (3.5.1). In particular, each $q_{j,k}$ depends on at most $d - d_*$ variables. By the division algorithm, let $d_* = \ell Q + r$, where r and Q are integers with $0 \leq r < \ell$. For each $q_{j,k}$, choose Q distinct variables $x_{a_1}, \ldots, x_{a_Q}$, each not equal to x_i, such that $\deg_{a_m}(q_{j,k}) = 0$ for each a_m. Define $s_{j,k}$ to be

$$s_{j,k} = \frac{(-1)^q (\ell Q + r)!}{Q! \ell^Q} \mathrm{Symm} \left[\mathrm{H} \left[x_i^r x_{a_1}^\ell \ldots x_{a_Q}^\ell, x_i, \ell \right] \right].$$

This $s_{j,k}$ is, by construction, a symmetrized ℓ-harmonic polynomial. By Lemma 3.3, $s_{j,k}$ has $\deg_i(s_{j,k}) = d_*$ with $x_i^{d_*}$ having a coefficient of 1. Further note that since $s_{j,k}$ and $q_{j,k}$ don't have any variables in common, $s_{j,k} q_{j,k}$ is an independent product of a symmetrized ℓ-harmonic and a polynomial of the form (3.5.1). Therefore $s_{j,k} q_{j,k}$ is itself of the form (3.5.1).

The polynomial p is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} p &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_k \sigma_j \left[x_i^{d_*} q_{j,k} \right] \qquad (3.5.2) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_k \sigma_j \left[\left(x_i^{d_*} - s_{j,k} \right) q_{j,k} \right] + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_k \sigma_j \left[s_{j,k} q_{j,k} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the polynomial

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_k \sigma_j \left[s_{j,k} q_{j,k} \right]$$

is of the form of (3.5.1), it follows that p also is if and only if

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k} \sigma_j \left[\left(x_i^{d_*} - s_{j,k} \right) q_{j,k} \right]$$

is. Redefine p to be

$$p := \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{k} \sigma_j \left[\left(x_i^{d_*} - s_{j,k} \right) q_{j,k} \right].$$

This new p has $\deg_i(p) < d_*$.

   (d) If $i < g$, redefine i to be $i := i + 1$. Go to step 1c.
   (e) If $i = g$ and $d_* > \ell + 1$, redefine $d_* := d_* - 1$ and go to step 1b.

2. At this step, $\deg_j(p) \leq \ell$ for all j. We will reduce the problem of showing that p is of the form of (3.5.1) to showing that some fully degree ℓ polynomial is of the form of (3.5.1).

   (a) Let $i := 1$.

   (b) At this step, for $j < i$, the terms of p have either degree 0 or degree ℓ in each x_j. Express p as in Proposition 3.9 as

   $$p = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} p_{[k]},$$

   where the terms of each $p_{[k]}$ have degree in x_i equivalent to k modulo ℓ. Since $\deg_i(p) \leq \ell$, when $1 \leq k < \ell$, the polynomial $p_{[k]}$ must be homogeneous of degree k in x_i. Further, by Proposition 3.9, each $p_{[k]}$ is ℓ-harmonic.

   For $k = 1, \ldots, \ell-1$, choose $\sigma_1^{(k)}, \ldots, \sigma_N^{(k)}$ which satisfy the conclusions Lemma 3.10 and express $p_{[k]}$ as

   $$p_{[k]} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sigma_j^{(k)} \left[x_i^k f_{k,j} \right],$$

   where, by Proposition 3.11, each $f_{k,j}$ is ℓ-harmonic and degree 0 in x_i. By the induction assumption, each $f_{k,j}$ is of the form (3.5.1). Further, since $k < \ell$, the polynomial x_i^k is symmetrized and ℓ-harmonic, which implies that $p_{[k]}$ is of the form of (3.5.1). Therefore p is of the form of (3.5.1) if and only if $p_{[0]}$ is. Redefine $p := p_{[0]}$.

   (c) If $i < g$, redefine $i := i + 1$ and go to step 2.

3. At this step, p is a sum of terms with degree in x_j equal to 0 or ℓ for each j. By definition, p is fully degree ℓ. By Proposition 3.17, p is of the form of (3.5.1).

□

3.5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4 (2)

Proof. For $\ell = 1$, the result follows by Proposition 3.7. Otherwise, suppose $\ell \geq 2$. It must be shown that given a homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$, with $g \geq d$, then p is a sum of polynomials of the form

$$p = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j, \tag{3.5.3}$$

where for each $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$ we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0.$$

Consider a few cases.

1. Suppose p is of the form

   $$p = (b_1 x_1 + \ldots + b_g x_g)^d,$$

   where $d \geq \ell$ and $b_1^\ell + \ldots + b_g^\ell = 0$. Then

   $$p = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j,$$

   with $a_{ij} = b_j$ for all i, j shows that p is of the form of (3.5.3) since for each $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$ we have

   $$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} b_j^\ell = 0.$$

2. If p is a symmetrized ℓ-harmonic of degree $d \geq \ell$, then by (1.2.3) and by Theorem 2.19, p is a sum of polynomials of the form

   $$\mathrm{Symm}[(b_1 x_1 + \ldots + b_g x_g)^d] = (b_1 x_1 + \ldots + b_g x_g)^d \in \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle.$$

   By Case 1, this is a sum of polynomials of the form (3.5.3).

3. A monomial $m = x_{b_1} \ldots x_{b_d}$, with $d < \ell$ is of the form

   $$m = x_{b_1} \ldots x_{b_d} = \prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j$$

   by setting $a_{ij} = 1$ if $j = b_i$ and 0 otherwise. If $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ is homogeneous of degree $d < \ell$, then this implies that p is a sum of polynomials of the form

   $$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j$$

for some a_{ij}. In this case, since $d < \ell$, there exists no $k_1, \ldots, k_\ell$ with $1 \leq k_1 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$, so therefore a homogeneous degree d polynomial is of the form (3.5.3) automatically.

4. Suppose $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ are homogeneous of degrees d_1 and d_2 respectively are such that $p_1 p_2$ is an independent product, and suppose
$$p_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{d_1} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j$$
and
$$p_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{d_2} \sum_{j=1}^{g} b_{ij} x_j$$
are of the form of (3.5.3). For $1 \leq i \leq d_2$, define $a_{(i+d_1)j} = b_{ij}$ so that
$$p_1 p_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{d_1+d_2} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j$$
Supppose $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d_1 + d_2$. If $k_\ell \leq d_1$, then by assumption
$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0.$$
If $k_1 > d_1$, then
$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = \sum_{j=1}^{g} b_{(k_1-d_1)j} \ldots b_{(k_\ell-d_1)j} = 0.$$
If $k_1 \leq d_1 < k_\ell$, then consider a product $a_{k_1 j} a_{k_d j}$. If $a_{k_1 j} \neq 0$, then $\deg_j(p_1) \neq 0$, so since $p_1 p_2$ is an independent product, $\deg_j(p_2) = 0$ and $a_{k_d j} = b_{(k_d-d_1)j} = 0$. Similarly, if $a_{k_d j} = b_{(k_d-d_1)j} \neq 0$, then $a_{k_1 j} = 0$. Therefore, in all cases
$$\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0.$$

5. If $\sigma \in S_d$ and p is of the form of (3.5.3), then Proposition 2.2 implies that $\sigma[p]$ is as well.

6. If p_1, p_2 are sums of polynomials of the form of (3.5.3), then by linearity and by Case 4, so is $p_1 p_2$.

Now, given these cases, by Proposition 3.18, every homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x \rangle$ is a sum of polynomials which are permutations of independent products
$$p_1 \ldots p_k$$

where each p_i is a symmetrized ℓ-harmonic polynomial. By linearity and by Case 5, it suffices to consider $p = p_1 \dots p_k$. First, if $\deg(p_i) \geq \ell$, then p_i is of the form of (3.5.3) by Case 2. If $\deg(p_i) < \ell$ then by Case 3, p_i is of the form of (3.5.3). By repeated application of Case 4, the product $p_1 \dots p_k$ is of the form of (3.5.3), which proves Theorem 1.4 (2). ☐

3.6 The Case where $d > g$

Theorem 1.4 (3) follows as a corollary.

Proof of Theorem 1.4 (3). Suppose $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_g\rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic, and suppose $d > g$. The space $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_g\rangle$ may be viewed as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$. Therefore, $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ is a homogeneous degree d polynomial, and so Theorem 1.4 (2) applies as long as p is ℓ-harmonic.

We see

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lap}_\ell[p,h] &= D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{d} x_i^\ell, h\right] \\ &= D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^\ell, h\right] + D\left[p, \sum_{i=g+1}^{d} x_i^\ell, h\right]. \qquad (3.6.1)\end{aligned}$$

By assumption, the first term of (3.6.1) is zero. Also, since $\deg_i(p) = 0$ for each $i > g$, it follows that the second term of (3.6.1) is zero. Therefore $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \dots, x_d\rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic. The result follows from Theorem 1.4 (2). ☐

3.7 Other Selected Cases of Non-Commutative Differential Equations

3.7.1 Generalization of Proposition 3.18 to $q = \sum_{i=a+1}^{g} x_i^\ell$

Proposition 3.19. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ be a homogeneous degree d polynomial. Then p satisfies*

$$D\left[p, \sum_{i=a+1}^{g} x_i^\ell, h\right] = 0, \qquad (3.7.1)$$

where $0 < a < g$, if and only if p is a finite sum of the form

$$\sum_k \sigma_k \left[p_{1,k}(x_1, \dots, x_a) p_{2,k}(x_{a+1}, \dots, x_g)\right] \qquad (3.7.2)$$

where each $p_{1,k}p_{2,k}$ is homogeneous of degree d, where the $\sigma_k \in S_d$ are some permutations, possibly repeating, and where each $p_{2,k}(x_{a+1}, \dots, x_g)$ is ℓ-harmonic.

Proof. Let p be equal to

$$p = \sum_{i=0}^{d} p_i,$$

where each p_i is either 0 or homogeneous of degree i in x_1. For each i, fix permutations $\sigma_1^{(i)}, \ldots, \sigma_{N_i}^{(i)}$ as in Lemma 3.10 and express each p_i as

$$p_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \sigma_j^{(i)} \left[x_1^i p_j^{(i)} \right],$$

where each $p_j^{(i)}$ is degree 0 in x_1. If $D\left[x_1^i, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D\left[p, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \sigma_j^{(i)} \left[D\left[x_1^i p_j^{(i)}, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h \right]\right] \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{N_i} \sigma_j^{(i)} \left[x_1^i D\left[p_j^{(i)}, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h \right]\right] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By Proposition 3.11, since $D[p_i, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h]$ can be uniquely represented with respect to the $\sigma_j^{(i)}$ chosen, this implies that for each (i, j),

$$D\left[p_j^{(i)}, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] = 0.$$

Repeat this process to get

$$p = \sum_{\tau \in S_d} \sum_{\gamma} \tau \left[x_1^{\gamma_1} \ldots x_a^{\gamma_a} p_{\tau,\gamma} \right],$$

where each $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_a)$ is a a-tuple of nonnegative integers and where each $p_{\tau,\gamma}$ doesn't depend on $x_1, \ldots, x_a$ and satisfies

$$D\left[p_{\tau,\gamma}, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] = 0.$$

Further, since $p_{\tau,\gamma}$ has $\deg_i(p_{\tau,\gamma}) = 0$ for $i \leq a$,

$$D\left[p_{\tau,\gamma}, \sum_{k=a+1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] = D\left[p_{\tau,\gamma}, \sum_{k=1}^{g} x_k^\ell, h\right] = \mathrm{Lap}_\ell \left[p_{\tau,\gamma}, h\right] = 0.$$

□

3.7.2 Change of Variables

For other many other partial differential equations of the form $q(\nabla)p(x) = 0$, there exists an invertible linear transformation A such that $q(Ax) = x_1^\ell + \ldots + x_g^\ell$.

Proposition 3.20. *Let* $B = (b_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{F}^{g\times g}$ *be an invertible matrix, and let* $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ *be equal to*

$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{g} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g} b_{ij} x_j \right)^{\ell} .$$

A degree d *homogeneous polynomial* $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ *is a solution to*

$$D[p, q, h] = 0 \tag{3.7.3}$$

if and only if $p\left(B^T x\right)$ *is* ℓ*-harmonic.*

Proof. First of all, when Bx is substituted for x in the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^{\ell}$, one gets

$$\sum_{i=1}^{g} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{g} b_{ij} x_j \right)^{\ell} = q(x).$$

Therefore,

$$q(B^{-1}x) = \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^{\ell}.$$

By Corollary 2.11,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Lap}_{\ell}\left[p\left(B^T\right), h\right] &= D\left[p\left(\left(B^T\right)x\right), q\left(B^{-1}x\right), h\right] \\ &= D\left[p, q\left(B^{-1}Bx\right), h\right]\left(B^T x\right) \\ &= D[p, q, h]\left(B^T x\right). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7.4}$$

Since B is invertible, $D[p, q, h]\left(B^T x\right)$ is identically zero if and only if $D[p, q, h]$ is. Therefore, $p\left(B^T h\right)$ is ℓ-harmonic if and only if $D[p, q, h] = 0$. ☐

4 Noncommutative Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables

This section considers NC polynomials in nonsymmetric variables. A NC polynomial p in **nonsymmetric variables** is a NC polynomial with the additional condition that x_i and x_i^T are not equal. The set of NC polynomials in nonsymmetric variables with coefficients in $\mathbb{F}$ is denoted as $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$.

4.1 Definitions

Definition 4.1. Consider the set $\{1, T\}^d$.. If $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \{1, T\}^d$ and $m = x_{b_1} \ldots x_{b_d} \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ is a monomial, let $m^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ be defined to be the monomial

$$m^{\alpha} := x_{b_1}^{a_1} x_{b_2}^{a_2} \ldots x_{b_d}^{a_d}, \tag{4.1.1}$$

where for any given x_i, the variable $x_i^1 := x_i$ and x_i^T is the transpose of x_i.

If $p = \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_m m$ is a general homogeneous degree d polynomial in $\mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$, and $\alpha =\in \{1, T\}^d$, define p^α to be

$$p^\alpha := \sum_{m \in \mathcal{M}} A_m m^\alpha.$$

Example 4.2. Let $\alpha = (1, T, 1, T)$ and let $p = x_1x_1x_1x_1 + 3x_2x_1x_1x_2$. Then p^α is equal to

$$p^\alpha = x_1x_1^Tx_1x_1^T + 3x_2x_1^Tx_1x_2^T.$$

Definition 4.3. Let $\alpha = (a_1, \ldots, a_d) \in \{1, T\}^d$. Define the set $\mathrm{NS}_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ to be

$$\mathrm{NS}_\alpha := \mathrm{span}\{m^\alpha : \ m \in \mathcal{M}, \ |m| = d\}.$$

Let P_α be defined to be the projection map from $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ onto NS_α.

Example 4.4. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ be equal to

$$p = x_1x_1x_2^Tx_1 - 7x_2x_2x_1^Tx_1 + 2x_1^Tx_2x_2x_2 - 2x_1x_1x_1x_1 + x_1x_1^Tx_1^Tx_2.$$

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_4 \in \{1, T\}^4$ be defined by

$$\alpha_1 = (1, 1, T, 1), \quad \alpha_2 = (T, 1, 1, 1), \quad \alpha_3 = (1, 1, 1, 1), \quad \alpha_4 = (1, T, T, 1).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\alpha_1}[p] &= x_1x_1x_2^Tx_1 - 7x_2x_2x_1^Tx_1, & P_{\alpha_2}[p] &= 2x_1^Tx_2x_2x_2, \\ P_{\alpha_3}[p] &= -2x_1x_1x_1x_1, & P_{\alpha_4}[p] &= x_1x_1^Tx_1^Tx_2. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.5. Let $p = x_1x_2x_1x_2 \in \mathbb{F}\langle x \rangle$ and let $\alpha = (T, T, 1, 1), \beta = (1, T, T, T)$. Then

$$p^\alpha = x_1x_2x_1^Tx_2^T$$

and

$$p^\beta = x_1^Tx_2x_1x_2.$$

Notice that

$$P_\alpha[p^\beta] = 0 = P_\beta[p^\alpha],$$

since p^α and p^β have transposes on different entries. □

Definition 4.6. Let $\bigoplus$ denote the direct sum of vector spaces. That is, if $V_1, \ldots, V_N$ are vector spaces, then

$$\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i = \sum_{i=1}^N V_i,$$

with the additional condition that each element of $\bigoplus_{i=1}^N V_i$ can be uniquely represented as a sum $v_1 + \ldots + v_N$, where each $v_i \in V_i$.

Proposition 4.7.

$$\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle = \bigoplus_{\alpha\in\{1,T\}^d} \mathrm{NS}_\alpha. \tag{4.1.2}$$

Proof. Straightforward □

Define a third map Sx from $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ to $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$ by

$$\mathrm{Sx}[p(x, x^T)] = p(x, x). \tag{4.1.3}$$

That is, Sx sets x_i^T equal to x_i in $p(x, x^T)$ so that p becomes an element of $\mathbb{F}\langle x\rangle$.

Example 4.8. Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ be equal to

$$p = x_1^T x_2 x_2^T x_1 - x_1 x_2 x_2 x_1^T + 3x_1^T x_1 x_1 x_1.$$

Then $\mathrm{Sx}[p] = 3x_1^4$.

Further, if $\alpha = (T, 1, T, 1)$, then $P_\alpha[p]$ is equal to

$$P_\alpha[p] = x_1^T x_2 x_2^T x_1$$

and

$$\mathrm{Sx}[P_\alpha[p]]^\alpha = x_1^T x_2 x_2^T x_1 = P_\alpha[p].$$

In general, for any $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$ and homogeneous degree d polynomial $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ we have

$$\mathrm{Sx}[P_\alpha[p]]^\alpha = P_\alpha[p]$$

since $P_\alpha[p]$ only has terms with transposes corresponding to the transposes of α.

4.2 Differentiation

Differentiation in $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ is similar to differentiation in the case of symmetric variables. If $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$, define $D[p(x_1, \ldots, x_g), x_i, h]$ to be

$$D[p(x_1, \ldots, x_g), x_i, h] := \frac{d}{dt}[p(x_1, \ldots, (x_i + th), \ldots, x_g)]_{|t=0}. \tag{4.2.1}$$

Example 4.9. Take as an example $p(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1^T x_1 x_3 - x_2^T x_2 x_3$. One sees,

$$D[p(x_1, x_2, x_3), x_1, h] = \frac{d}{dt}((x_1 + th)^T(x_1 + th)x_3 - x_2^T x_2 x_3) = h^T x_1 x_3 + x_1^T h x_3 \tag{4.2.2}$$

In this specific case, also note that

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p(x_1, x_2, x_3), h] = 2h^T h x_3 - 2h^T h x_3 = 0$$

meaning $p(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is harmonic. □

As this example shows, the directional derivative of p with respect to x_i in the direction h is the sum of all possible terms produced from p by replacing either one instance of x_i with h or one instance of x_i^T with h^T. Given α, the previous definitions of p^α, P_α, NS_α and Sx are extended to the space $\mathbb{F}\langle x, h\rangle$ by treating h as if it were another variable x_{g+1}. This gives the following proposition.

Proposition 4.10. *Let $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$, let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$, and let $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$. Then*

$$P_\alpha[D[p, q, h]] = D[P_\alpha[p], q, h]. \tag{4.2.3}$$

Proof. As discussed, $D[P_\alpha[p], q, h]$ has transposes on the same entries as $P_\alpha[p]$ does. Therefore

$$P_\alpha[D[p, q, h]] = P_\alpha\left[\sum_{\beta\in\{1,T\}^d} D[P_\beta[p], q, h]\right] = P_\alpha D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = D[P_\alpha[p], q, h].$$

□

4.3 Partial Differential Equations in Nonsymmetric Variables

The results of § 3 can be extended to $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ by the following proposition. In particular, there is an extension of Theorem 1.4 to $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$.

Proposition 4.11. *Let $p \in \mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ be a NC, homogeneous degree d polynomial, and let $q \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ be a commutative, homogeneous, degree ℓ polynomial.*

1. *The polynomial p satisfies $D[p, q, h] = 0$ if and only if $D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = 0$ for each $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$.*
2. *For a given $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$, the polynomial p satisfies $D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = 0$ if and only if $D[S[P_\alpha[p]], q, h] = 0$.*

Proof.

1. Given $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$, each derivative of the form

   $$D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = P_\alpha[D[p, q, h]]$$

   lies in the space NS_α. Since p is equal to

   $$p = \sum_{\alpha\in\{1,T\}^d} P_\alpha[p],$$

   by Proposition 4.7, the condition that $D[p, q, h] = 0$ is equivalent to $D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$.

2. It is straightforward to check that

   $$D[\mathrm{Sx}[p], q, h] = \mathrm{Sx}[D[p, q, h]],$$

and that on the set NS_α the map Sx is injective. Therefore

$$D[P_\alpha[p], q, h] = P_\alpha[D[p, q, h]] = 0$$

if and only if

$$\mathrm{Sx} \circ P_\alpha[D[p, q, h]] = D[\mathrm{Sx} \circ P_\alpha[p], q, h] = 0.$$

□

Here is an extension of Theorem 1.4 to $\mathbb{F}\langle x, x^T\rangle$.

Corollary 4.12. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$ and let ℓ be a positive integer.*

1. *Every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ of degree less than ℓ is ℓ-harmonic.*
2. *If $g \geq d$, then every NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij} x_j^{\alpha_i}, \tag{4.3.1}$$

such that $\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 < \ldots < k_\ell \leq d$, and such that $\alpha_i \in \{1, T\}$.

3. *If $d > \max\{\ell, g\}$, then the space $\mathbb{C}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_1^T, \ldots, x_d^T\rangle$. Therefore, every NC, homogeneous degree d, ℓ-harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_d, x_1^T, \ldots, x_d^T\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij} x_j^{\alpha_i},$$

such that $\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{k_1 j} \ldots a_{k_\ell j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 \leq \ldots \leq k_\ell \leq d$, and such that $\alpha_i \in \{1, T\}$.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ be ℓ-harmonic. By Proposition 4.11 part 1, for each α the polynomial $P_\alpha[p]$ is ℓ-harmonic. By Proposition 4.11 part 2, the polynomial $\mathrm{Sx}[P_\alpha[p]] \in \mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic for each α. Since p is equal to

$$p = \sum_{\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d} \mathrm{Sx}[P_\alpha[p]]^\alpha$$

we see p is simply a sum of polynomials of the form q^α, where $q \in \mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ is ℓ-harmonic. Taking polynomials of the form (1.2.4) and exponentiating them with α gives the corollary. □

5 Noncommutative Subharmonic Polynomials

This section discusses NC subharmonic polynomials. The notion of a NC Laplacian, NC harmonic, and NC subharmonic polynomials was first introduced in [1]. As mentioned previously, the Laplacian of a NC polynomial p is defined as:

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Lap}[p,h] &:= D\left[p, \sum_{i=1}^{g} x_i^2, h\right] &\quad (5.0.2)\\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{d^2}{dt^2}[p(x_1,\dots,(x_i+th),\dots,x_g)]_{|t=0}. &\quad (5.0.3)\end{aligned}$$

When the variables commute, $\operatorname{Lap}[p,h]$ is $h^2\Delta[p]$ where $\Delta[p]$ is the standard Laplacian on $\mathbb{R}^n$

$$\Delta[p] := \sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{\partial^\ell p}{\partial x_i^\ell}.$$

A NC polynomial is called **harmonic** if its Laplacian is zero, i.e., if it is ℓ-harmonic for $\ell = 2$. A NC polynomial is called **subharmonic** if its Laplacian is matrix positive—or equivalently, if its Laplacian is a finite sum of squares $\sum_i P_i^T P_i$ (see [2]). A subharmonic polynomial is called **purely subharmonic** if it is not harmonic, i.e., if its Laplacian is nonzero and matrix positive.

5.1 Basic Properties and Results

5.1.1 Classification of NC Harmonic Polynomials

Theorem 1.4 with $\ell = 2$ classifies all NC harmonic polynomials.

Corollary 5.1. *Let $x = (x_1,\dots,x_g)$ and let ℓ be a positive integer.*

1. *Every polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ of degree less than 2 is harmonic.*
2. *If $g \geq d \geq 2$, then every NC, homogeneous degree d, harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{ij}x_j, \tag{5.1.1}$$

   such that $\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j}a_{k_2 j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq d$.

3. *If $d > \max\{2, g\}$, then the space $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ can be viewed as a subspace of $\mathbb{C}\langle x_1,\dots,x_d\rangle$. Therefore, every NC, homogeneous degree d, harmonic polynomial in $\mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle \subset \mathbb{C}\langle x_1,\dots,x_d\rangle$ is a sum of polynomials of the form*

$$\prod_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{d} a_{ij}x_j,$$

   such that $\sum_{j=1}^{g} a_{k_1 j}a_{k_2 j} = 0$ for each $1 \leq k_1 < k_2 \leq d$.

The two-dimensional case, as stated below, is proven in Theorem 1 of [1], and is equivalent to Proposition 3.8 with $\ell = 2$.

Proposition 5.2. *Let $x = (x_1, x_2)$. The NC harmonic polynomials $p \in \mathbb{C}\langle x\rangle$ of homogeneous degree $d > 2$ are exactly the linear combinations of*

$$Re((x+iy)^d) \quad \text{and} \quad Im((x+iy)^d),$$

5.1.2 The Laplacian of a Product

Lemma 5.3 (Lemma 2.2 in [1])**.** *The product rule for the Laplacian of NC polynomials is*

$$\operatorname{Lap}[p_1\, p_2, h] = \operatorname{Lap}[p_1, h]\, p_2 + p_1 \operatorname{Lap}[p_2, h] + 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}\big(D[p_1, x_i, h]\, D[p_2, x_i, h]\big).$$

As a consequence if p is harmonic, then

$$\operatorname{Lap}[p^T\, p, h] = 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}\big(D[p, x_i, h]^T\, D[p, x_i, h]\big).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Lap}[p_1\, p_2, h] &= \sum_{i=1}^{g} D[D[p_1\, p_2, x_i, h], x_i, h] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{g} D[p_1\, D[p_2, x_i, h] + D[p_1, x_i, h]\, p_2,\ x_i, h] \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{g}\big(p_1\, D[D[p_2, x_i, h], x_i, h] + D[D[p_1, x_i, h], x_i, h]\, p_2 \\
&\qquad\quad + 2D[p_1, x_i, h], D[p_2, x_i, h]\big) \\
&= \operatorname{Lap}[p_1, h]\, p_2 + p_1 \operatorname{Lap}[p_2, h] + 2\sum_{i=1}^{g}\big(D[p_1, x_i, h]\, D[p_2, x_i, h]\big).
\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2 Classifying Subharmonic Polynomials

5.2.1 Preliminary Results

The results of this subsection extend results presented in [1].

Lemma 5.4. *Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_g)$. If $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ is subharmonic, then $\dfrac{p+p^T}{2}$ is symmetric and subharmonic and $\dfrac{p-p^T}{2}$ is harmonic.*

Proof. First of all, it is straightforward to show that for any $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ and any variable x_i that $D[p^T, x_i, h] = D[p, x_i, h]^T$. This implies in particular that $\mathrm{Lap}[p^T, h] = \mathrm{Lap}[p, h]^T$. The polynomial p being subharmonic implies that $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is matrix positive, or equivalently, that $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is a sum of hermitian squares. In particular, this implies that $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] = \mathrm{Lap}[p, h]^T$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lap}\left[\frac{p+p^T}{2}, h\right] &= \frac{\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] + \mathrm{Lap}[p, h]^T}{2} = \mathrm{Lap}[p, h] \succeq 0\\ \mathrm{Lap}\left[\frac{p-p^T}{2}, h\right] &= \frac{\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] - \mathrm{Lap}[p, h]^T}{2} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 5.5. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$. Suppose $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ is a polynomial of the form*

$$p = \sum_i^{finite} R_i^T R_i + H$$

where the polynomials R_i and H are harmonic. Then p is subharmonic.

Proof. This observation is proven in [1].

Applying the Laplacian to p gives

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Lap}\left[\sum_i^{finite} R_i^T R_i + H, h\right] &= \sum_i^{finite} \mathrm{Lap}[R_i^T, h] R_i + \sum_i^{finite} R_i^T \mathrm{Lap}[R_i, h] \\ &\quad + \mathrm{Lap}[H, h] + 2\sum_i^{finite}\sum_{j=1}^{g} D[R_i, x_j, h]^T D[R_i, x_j, h].\end{aligned} \tag{5.2.1}$$

Since the R_i and H are harmonic, (5.2.1) simplifies to

$$\mathrm{Lap}\left[\sum_i^{finite} R_i^T R_i + H, h\right] = 2\sum_i^{finite}\sum_{j=1}^{g} D[R_i, x_j, h]^T D[R_i, x_j, h], \tag{5.2.2}$$

which is a sum of squares. □

Proposition 5.6. *There are no pure subharmonic, homogeneous polynomials of odd degree.*

Proof. This also appears in [1]. If p is of odd degree and $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is nonzero, then $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ has odd degree, so it cannot be a sum of squares. □

5.2.2 A Subharmonic Polynomial Has Harmonic Neighbors

We use the following proposition, which restates Theorem 2 of [1].

Proposition 5.7 (Theorem 2 of [1])**.** *Let* $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ *or* $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ *Let* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$*, and let* $\{\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_k\}$ *be a basis for the set of homogeneous degree* d *harmonic polynomials in* $\mathcal{A}$*. If* $p \in \mathcal{A}$ *is subharmonic and homogeneous of degree* $2d$*, then it has the form*

$$p = H(x)^T AH(x), \tag{5.2.3}$$

where $H(x)$ *is the vector*

$$H(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_1 \\ \vdots \\ \gamma_k \end{pmatrix}$$

and where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{k\times k}$*.*

To prove this proposition, consider the following lemma.

Lemma 5.8. *Let* $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$*. Let* $V(x) = (v_1, \ldots, v_r)^T$ *be a vector of linearly independent homogeneous degree* d_r *NC polynomials, and let* $W(x) = (w_1, \ldots, w_s)^T$ *be a vector of linearly independent homogeneous degree* d_s *NC polynomials. If* $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r\times s}$*, then*

$$V(x)^T AW(x) = 0$$

if and only if $A = 0$*.*

Proof. First consider the case where $V = M_{d_r}$ is a vector whose entries are all the monomials of degree d_r, and where $W = M_{d_s}$ is a vector whose entries are all the monomials of degree d_s. Let $A \in \mathbb{R}^{r\times s}$ be such that $M_r(x)^T AM_s(x) = 0$. Each NC monomial m of degree $d_r + d_s$ can be uniquely expressed as a product

$$m = m_r m_s,$$

where m_r is a monomial consisting of the first d_r entries of m and m_s is a monomial consisting of the last d_s entries of m. Let $A_{m_r^T, m_s}$ be the entry of A corresponding to m_r^T on the left and m_s on the right. Then,

$$M_r(x)^T AM_s(x) = \sum_{|m_r|=d_r} \sum_{|m_s|=d_s} A_{m_r^T, m_s} m_r m_s = 0.$$

This implies that each $A_{m_r^T, m_s} = 0$, or in other words, that $A = 0$.

Next consider the case where the entries of V span the set of all homogeneous degree d_r polynomials, and where the entries of W span the set of all homogeneous degree d_s polynomials. The elements of V may be expressed uniquely as a linear combination of the elements of M_r. Therefore there exists an invertible matrix R such that

$$RV(x) = M_r(x).$$

Similarly, there exists an invertible matrix S such that

$$SW(x) = M_s(x).$$

Therefore

$$V(x)^T AW(x) = M_r^T R^T ASM_s = 0$$

which implies that $R^T AS = 0$. Since R and S are invertible, it follows that $A = 0$.

Finally, consider the generic case. Let V' be a vector whose entries, together with the entries of V, form a basis for the set of homogeneous degree d_r polynomials, and let W' be a vector whose entries, together with the entries of W, form a basis for the set of homogeneous degree d_s polynomials. Suppose $V(x)^T AW = 0$. Then

$$V^T AW = \begin{pmatrix} V \\ V' \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} W \\ W' \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

which implies that $A = 0$. ☐

Definition 5.9. Let $V(x) = (v_1, \ldots, v_k)^T$ be a vector of NC polynomials. Let $D[V, x_i, h]$ denote

$$D[V, x_i, h] := (D[v_1, x_i, h], \ldots, D[v_k, x_i, h])^T,$$

and let $\mathrm{Lap}[V, h]$ denote

$$\mathrm{Lap}[V, h] = (\mathrm{Lap}[v_1, h], \ldots, \mathrm{Lap}[v_k, h])^T.$$

Proof of Proposition 5.7. This proof is based on the proof of Theorem 2 of [1]. Let $Q(x)$ be a vector whose entries together with the entries of $H(x)$ form a basis for the space of homogeneous degree d polynomials. Let p be equal to

$$p = \begin{pmatrix} H(x) \\ Q(x) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H(x) \\ Q(x) \end{pmatrix}.$$

It suffices to prove that B, C, and $D = 0$.

By the product rule for Laplacian of Lemma 5.3,

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] = 2\sum_{i=1}^{g} \begin{pmatrix} D[H(x), x_i, h] \\ D[Q(x), x_i, h] \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} D[H(x), x_i, h] \\ D[Q(x), x_i, h] \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2.4}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Lap}[H(x), h] \\ \mathrm{Lap}[Q(x), h] \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H(x) \\ Q(x) \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2.5}$$

$$+ \begin{pmatrix} H(x) \\ Q(x) \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathrm{Lap}[H(x), h] \\ \mathrm{Lap}[Q(x), h] \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2.6}$$

Since p is subharmonic, $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ must be a sum of squares. Since $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is homogeneous of degree $2d$ and homogeneous of degree 2 in h, it must be that

Lap$[p, h]$ is a sum of squares of homogeneous degree d polynomials which are homogeneous of degree 1 in h. Therefore Lap$[p, h]$ is of the form

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] = V(x, h)^T Z V(x, h), \tag{5.2.7}$$

where $V(x, h)$ is a vector of homogeneous degree d polynomials which are homogeneous of degree 1 in h, and where $Z \succeq 0$.

One sees the following:

- The expression (5.2.4) is spanned by terms with one h within the first d entries and one h within the last d entries.
- The expression (5.2.5) is spanned by terms with two h within the first d entries and no h within the last d entries.
- The expression (5.2.6) is spanned by terms with no h within the first d entries and two h within the last d entries.

Since the terms of (5.2.7) have one h in the first d entries, the expressions (5.2.5) and (5.2.6) are each equal to 0. Consider (5.2.5). The vector Lap$[H(x), h]$ must be zero since $H(x)$ is spanned by harmonic polynomials. On the other hand, the entries of Lap$[Q(x), h]$ are linearly independent for the following reason:

Suppose $q_1, \ldots, q_n$ are the entries of $Q(x)$, which are assumed to be linearly independent. Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ be scalars such that

$$\alpha_1 \mathrm{Lap}[q_1, h] + \ldots + \alpha_n \mathrm{Lap}[q_n, h] = \mathrm{Lap}[\alpha_1 q_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n q_n, h] = 0.$$

This implies that $\alpha_1 q_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n q_n$ is harmonic. However, the elements of $Q(x)$ are linearly independent from the entries of $H(x)$, which span the set of NC harmonic, homogeneous degree d polynomials. Therefore $\alpha_1 q_1 + \ldots + \alpha_n q_n = 0$, which implies, by linear independence, that each $\alpha_i = 0$.

Therefore,

$$\mathrm{Lap}[Q(x), h]^T \begin{pmatrix} C & D \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} H(x) \\ Q(x) \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

By Lemma 5.8, this implies that C and D are equal to zero. A similar argument on (5.2.6) proves that B also is equal to zero. □

5.2.3 Classification of Select Classes of Subharmonic NC Polynomials

In degree two, the NC subharmonic polynomials have a simple classification.

Proposition 5.10. *Let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_g)$.*

1. *The degree 2 subharmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ are precisely those of the form*

$$A x_1^2 + H \tag{5.2.8}$$

for a constant $A \geq 0$ and a NC harmonic polynomial $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$.

2. *The degree 2 subharmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ are precisely those of the form*
$$Ax_1^T x_1 + B(x_1 x_1 + x_1^T x_1^T) + C x_1 x_1^T + H \tag{5.2.9}$$
for a constant matrix
$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{pmatrix}$$
which is positive semidefinite and a NC harmonic polynomial $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$.

Proof. 1. This is proven in part (2a) of Theorem 1 of [1].

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ be a degree 2 polynomial. Express p as
$$p = \sum_{i,j} A_{ij} x_i x_j + L(x),$$
where $L(x)$ is affine linear. Then p equals
$$p = L(x) + \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij} x_i x_j + \sum_{i=2}^{g} A_{ii}(x_i^2 - x_1^2) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii}\right) x_1^2. \tag{5.2.10}$$
Since the first three terms of (5.2.10) are harmonic, the Laplacian of p is
$$\mathrm{Lap}[p, h] = \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii}\right) h^2$$
which is matrix positive if and only if $A := \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii} \geq 0$.

2. Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ be a degree 2 polynomial. Express p as
$$p = \sum_{\alpha \in \{1,T\}^2} \sum_{i,j} A_{ij\alpha} x_i x_j^\alpha + L(x),$$
where $L(x)$ is affine linear. Then p equals
$$p = L(x) + \sum_{\alpha \in \{1,T\}^2} \sum_{i \neq j} A_{ij\alpha} x_i x_j^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \{1,T\}^2} \sum_{i=2}^{g} A_{ii\alpha}(x_i^2 - x_1^2)^\alpha \tag{5.2.11}$$
$$+ \sum_{\alpha \in \{1,T\}^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii\alpha}\right) (x_1^2)^\alpha.$$
Since the first three terms of (5.2.11) are harmonic, the Laplacian of p is
$$\mathrm{Lap}[p] = 2 \begin{pmatrix} h \\ h^T \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h \\ h^T \end{pmatrix},$$
where
$$\begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ B & C \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii(T,1)} & \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii(1,1)} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii(T,T)} & \sum_{i=1}^{g} A_{ii(1,T)} \end{pmatrix}$$
is positive semidefinite if and only if p is subharmonic.

□

Homogeneous subharmonic polynomials $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ all have a convenient form.

Proposition 5.11. *The homogeneous degree* $2d$ *subharmonics in* $\mathbb{R}\langle x_1, x_2 \rangle$ *are precisely those of the form*

$$p = \sum_{i}^{finite} R_i^T R_i + H \tag{5.2.12}$$

where the R_i *are harmonic homogeneous degree* d *polynomials and where* H *is a NC harmonic, homogeneous degree* $2d$ *polynomial.*

Proof. This proposition is Theorem 1 of [1] for $d \neq 2$. It will be proven here for all d. The $d \neq 2$ case will be shown here for the convenience of the reader.

If p is a degree 2 subharmonic then Proposition 5.10 is of the form (5.2.12).

If p is of degree $2d$, $d > 2$, then by Propositions 5.2 and 5.7 it is of the form

$$A_{11}Re((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d) + A_{12}Re((x+iy)^d)Im((x+iy)^d)$$

$$+A_{21}Im((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d) + A_{22}Im((x+iy)^d)Im((x+iy)^d).$$

The polynomials

$$Re((x+iy)^d)Im((x+iy)^d), \quad Im((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d),$$

$$and \quad Im((x+iy)^d)Im((x+iy)^d) - Re((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d)$$

are harmonic, which means p is of the form

$$p = (A_{11} - A_{22})Re((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d) + H$$

with $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ harmonic. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Lap}[p, h] &= (A_{11} - A_{22})\text{Lap}[Re((x+iy)^d)Re((x+iy)^d), h] && (5.2.13) \\ &= 2(A_{11} - A_{22}) \sum_{j=1}^{g} D[Re((x+iy)^d), x_j, h]^2 && (5.2.14) \end{aligned}$$

which is matrix positive if and only if $A_{11} - A_{22} \geq 0$.

The $d = 2$ case is more difficult. A polynomial p is a sum of squares if it can be expressed in the form $p = V^T A V$ for some vector of polynomials V and some positive semidefinite constant matrix A. By Proposition 5.2, the NC harmonic polynomials of homogeneous degree 4 are linear combinations of

$$\gamma_1 = \text{Symm}[x_1^4 - 6x_1^2x_2^2 + x_2^4] \quad and \quad \gamma_2 = \text{Symm}[x_1^3x_2 - x_2^3x_1]$$

by Proposition 5.2. Express the set of homogeneous degree 4 harmonics H as

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta & 0 \\ \beta & -\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2.15}$$

for arbitrary scalars α, β corresponding to γ_1 and γ_2 respectively.

Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ be a NC, degree 4, subharmonic polynomial. By Lemma 5.4, p may be expressed as a symmetric polynomial plus a harmonic polynomial. Given this and given (5.2.15), p is equal to

$$p = H_1 + \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & a \\ 0 & b & c \\ a & c & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

for some harmonic polynomial H_1. In this case, $\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]$ is equal to

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p,h] = \begin{pmatrix} x_1h + hx_1 \\ x_2h + hx_2 \\ x_1h \\ hx_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & c & a \\ 0 & b & -a & c \\ c & -a & d & 0 \\ a & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1h + hx_1 \\ x_2h + hx_2 \\ x_1h \\ hx_2 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2.16}$$

Since p is subharmonic, the polynomial (5.2.16) must be a sum of squares, which implies that the constant matrix in (5.2.16) is positive semidefinite. Since the diagonal entries of a positive semidefinite matrix are non-negative, $b, d \geq 0$. Further, if a diagonal entry of a positive semidefinite matrix is 0, then the row and column entries corresponding to that diagonal entry are also 0. Therefore, if either b or d equals zero, then both a and c are zero, in which case the result is trivial. Otherwise

$$\begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 & c & a \\ 0 & b & -a & c \\ c & -a & d & 0 \\ a & c & 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{b}} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & r\sin(\theta) & r\cos(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & -r\cos(\theta) & r\sin(\theta) \\ r\sin(\theta) & -r\cos(\theta) & 1 & 0 \\ r\cos(\theta) & r\sin(\theta) & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0, \tag{5.2.17}$$

where

$$r\cos(\theta) = \frac{a}{\sqrt{bd}} \quad \textit{and} \quad r\sin(\theta) = \frac{c}{\sqrt{bd}},$$

with $r \geq 0$. All of the principal minors of (5.2.17) must be positive, so

$$\begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & r\sin(\theta) \\ 0 & 1 & -r\cos(\theta) \\ r\sin(\theta) & -r\cos(\theta) & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 1 - r^2 \geq 0.$$

Therefore $0 \leq r \leq 1$.

Therefore p equals

$$p = H + \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} b\cos^2(\theta) & b\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta) & \sqrt{bd}r\cos(\theta) \\ b\cos(\theta)\sin(\theta) & b\sin^2(\theta) & \sqrt{bd}r\sin(\theta) \\ \sqrt{bd}r\cos(\theta) & \sqrt{bd}r\sin(\theta) & d \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 - x_2^2 \\ x_1x_2 + x_2x_1 \\ x_1x_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.2.18}$$

for some NC harmonic polynomial $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$. The matrix in (5.2.18) is equal to

$$r \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b}\cos(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{b}\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b}\cos(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{b}\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix} +$$

$$(1-r) \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b}\cos(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{b}\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{b}\cos(\theta) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \sqrt{b}\sin(\theta) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \sqrt{d} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is positive semidefinite. □

Example 5.12. In higher dimensions, not all homogeneous subharmonic polynomials are of the form of Proposition 5.5. For example, consider the polynomial $p(x)$ defined by

$$p(x) = (x_1x_2x_2x_1+x_2x_1x_1x_2)+(x_1x_3x_3x_1+x_3x_1x_1x_3)-(x_2x_3x_3x_2+x_3x_2x_2x_3).$$

The Laplacian of p is equal to

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p,h] = 4(x_1hhx_1 + hx_1x_1h)$$

which is a sum of squares.

Fix a positive integer g. Let $V_g(x)$ be the vector

$$V_g(x) = (x_1^2 - x_2^2, \ldots, x_1^2 - x_g^2, x_1x_2, \ldots, x_gx_{g-1})^T.$$

The entries of $V_g(x)$ form a basis for the set of degree 2 homogeneous harmonic polynomials in $\mathbb{R}\langle x_1, \ldots, x_g\rangle$. Assume by contradiction that there exists a harmonic polynomial $H \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ such that $p + H$ equals

$$p + H = V_g^T A V_g$$

with $A \succeq 0$. Let α_k be the diagonal entry of A corresponding to $x_1^2 - x_k^2$. Let C_{ij}, where $i < j$, be the 2×2 block on the diagonal of A corresponding to (x_ix_j, x_jx_i). Since $A \succeq 0$, each $\alpha_k \geq 0$ and each $C_{ij} \succeq 0$.

Express $\mathrm{Lap}[p,h]$ as

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p,h] = W_g(x)^T B W_g(x)$$

where $W_g(x) = (x_1h, hx_1, \ldots, x_gh, hx_g)^T$. Let β_i be the block on the diagonal of B corresponding to (x_ih, hx_i). Since p is subharmonic, $\beta_i \succeq 0$ for each i.

By Lemma 5.3,

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p,h] = 2\sum_{j=1}^{g} D[V_g(x), x_j, h]^T A D[V_g(x), x_j, h].$$

For a given variable x_i, with $i > 1$, the terms of Lap$[p, h]$ with degree two in x_i are

$$\begin{aligned} &2\sum_{i<j} \begin{pmatrix} D[x_ix_j, x_j, h] \\ D[x_jx_i, x_j, h] \end{pmatrix}^T C_{ij} \begin{pmatrix} D[x_ix_j, x_j, h] \\ D[x_jx_i, x_j, h] \end{pmatrix} \\ &+2\sum_{i>k} \begin{pmatrix} D[x_kx_i, x_i, h] \\ D[x_ix_k, x_i, h] \end{pmatrix}^T C_{ki} \begin{pmatrix} D[x_kx_i, x_i, h] \\ D[x_ix_k, x_i, h] \end{pmatrix} \\ &\quad +2(D[x_1^2 - x_i^2, x_i, h])^T \alpha_i (D[x_1^2 - x_i^2, x_i, h]). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2.19}$$

Alternately, (5.2.19) may be expressed as

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_ih \\ hx_i \end{pmatrix}^T \beta_i \begin{pmatrix} x_ih \\ hx_i \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $i > 1$, since Lap$[p, h] = 4(x_1hhx_1 + hx_1x_1h)$ has no terms with degree 2 in x_i,

$$0 = \beta_i = 2\sum_{i<j} C_{ij} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \left(2\sum_{i>k} C_{ki}\right) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + 2\alpha_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{5.2.20}$$

Each of the terms of the righthand side of (5.2.20) is by assumption positive semidefinite, they must all be zero, that is, $C_{ij} = 0$ for all $j > i$, $C_{ki} = 0$ for all $k < i$, and $\alpha_i = 0$. Therefore all of the diagonal entries of A are equal to 0. Since $A \succeq 0$, this implies that $A = 0$. This implies that Lap$[p, h] = 0$, which is a contradiction. □

Definition 5.13. A NC polynomial $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ or $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ is **bounded below** if there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $p + C$ is matrix positive.

5.2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.7

The following is the proof Theorem 1.7.

Proof. Suppose for some constant C that $p+C$ is matrix positive, or equivalently, that it is a finite sum of squares, i.e. that $p + C$ can be expressed as

$$p + C = \sum_{finite} q_i^T q_i \tag{5.2.21}$$

for some q_i. (see [2]). Since p is homogeneous of degree $2d$, this implies that each q_i must be at most degree d and that at least one q_i actually is of degree d. For each q_i in (5.2.21), let q_i' a homogeneous degree d polynomial such that $\deg(q_i - q_i') < d$. Then

$$p + C = \sum_{finite} (q_i')^T(q_i') + \sum_{finite} \left([q_i - q_i']^T[q_i - q_i'] + [q_i']^T[q_i - q_i'] + [q_i']^T[q_i - q_i']\right). \tag{5.2.22}$$

Since the only terms on the right-hand side of (5.2.22) which are degree $2d$ are those of $\sum_{finite}(q_i')^T(q_i')$, and since p is homogeneous of degree $2d$ and C is constant, this implies that $p = \sum_{finite}(q_i')^T(q_i')$.

If $V(x)$ is a vector whose entries form a basis for the set of homogeneous degree d polynomials, then $q_i' = \alpha_i^T V(x)$ for some scalar vectors α_i and

$$p = V(x)^T \left(\sum_{finite} \alpha_i \alpha_i^T \right) V(x).$$

By Lemma 5.8, the matrix

$$\sum_{finite} \alpha_i \alpha_i^T \succeq 0 \tag{5.2.23}$$

is a unique matrix for the vector $V(x)$ and p.

Since p is subharmonic, by Proposition 5.7 it may be expressed as

$$p = H(x)^T A H(x),$$

where $H(x)$ is a vector of linearly independent, homogeneous degree d, harmonic polynomials. Lemma 5.8 implies that the matrix A is unique. By (5.2.23), A must be positive semidefinite. Therefore

$$p = \left(\sqrt{A}H(x)\right)^T \left(\sqrt{A}H(x)\right),$$

is a finite sum of squares of homogeneous degree d harmonic polynomials. $\square$

5.3 Subharmonic Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables

Definition 5.14. For a d-tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d) \in \{1, T\}^d$ define $\alpha^T \in \{1, T\}^d$ as

$$\alpha^T = (\alpha_d T, \alpha_{d-1} T, \ldots, \alpha_1 T), \tag{5.3.1}$$

where $1T := T$ and $TT = T^2 := 1$. If $m \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ is homogeneous of degree d and $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$, then $(m^\alpha)^T = (m^T)^{\alpha^T}$. (Recall that m^α is defined in (4.1.1).) If $\alpha = \alpha^T$ call $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$ **symmetric**.

Example 5.15. Let $\alpha = (0, 0, T, T)$ so that $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$ is symmetric. The polynomial $(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(x_1^2 - x_2^2) \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ is symmetric and subharmonic. Also,

$$(x_1^2 - x_2^2)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)^\alpha = (x_1^2 - x_2^2)(x_1^2 - x_2^2)^T$$

is also symmetric and subharmonic. $\square$

Proposition 5.16. *If $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x \rangle$ is a homogeneous degree $2d$ polynomial, and $\alpha \in \{1, T\}^d$ is symmetric, then $p^\alpha \in \mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T \rangle$ is subharmonic if and only if p is.*

Proof. Suppose Lap$[p, h]$ is equal to

$$W^T B W$$

for some vector W of linearly independent homogeneous degree d polynomials and for some constant matrix B. By Lemma 5.8, the matrix B is uniquely determined, so Lap$[p, h]$ is a sum of squares if and only if $B \succeq 0$. Further

$$\mathrm{Lap}[p, h]^{\alpha} = \mathrm{Lap}[p^{\alpha}, h] = (W^{\beta})^T B (W^{\beta})$$

where

$$\beta = (\alpha_{d+1}, \alpha_{d+2}, \ldots, \alpha_{2d})$$

is the last half of α. Therefore p^{α} is subharmonic if and only if $B \succeq 0$, or equivalently, if and only if p is subharmonic. $\square$

5.4 Properties of Harmonic and Subharmonic Functions

This subsection aims to extend results about harmonic and subharmonic functions in commuting variables to NC harmonic and subharmonic polynomials.

Let $(X_1, \ldots, X_g) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$ denote a g-tuple of symmetric matrices. Each such tuple may be considered alternatively as an element of $\mathbb{R}^{\frac{gn(n+1)}{2}}$ with coordinates $(X_k)_{ij} = x_{ijk}$. Let $(Z_1, \ldots, Z_g) \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g$ denote a g-tuple of matrices. Each such tuple may be considered alternatively as an element of $\mathbb{R}^{gn^2}$ with coordinates $(Z_k)_{ij} = z_{ijk}$.

Proposition 5.17. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ and let $q \in \mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$. Fix a dimension n.*

1. *If p is harmonic, then the function*

   $$y_1^T p(X) y_2 : (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{gn(n+1)}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

   is harmonic for each $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

2. *If p is subharmonic, then the function*

   $$y^T p(X) y : (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g = \mathbb{R}^{\frac{gn(n+1)}{2}} \to \mathbb{R}$$

   is subharmonic for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

3. *If q is harmonic, then the function*

   $$y_1^T q(Z) y_2 : (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g = \mathbb{R}^{gn^2} \to \mathbb{R}$$

   is harmonic for each $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

4. *If q is subharmonic, then the function*

   $$y^T q(Z) y : (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g = \mathbb{R}^{gn^2} \to \mathbb{R}$$

   is subharmonic for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Proof. First consider the symmetric variable case. A partial derivative $\dfrac{\partial p}{\partial x_{ijk}}$ is, in terms of the NC directional derivative notation, equal to

$$D[p, x_k, A_{ij}](X)$$

where A_{ij} has a 1 for the ij^{th} and ji^{th} entry, and 0 for all other entries. If $i = j$, this means A_{ij} has one lone nonzero entry at ii. Applying Δ to p gives

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta\left[y_1^T p y_2\right] &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{g}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{ijk}^2} y_1^T p y_2 \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{k=1}^{g} D\left[D\left[y_1^T p y_2, x_k, A_{ij}\right], x_k, A_{ij}\right](X) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{n} y_1^T \mathrm{Lap}[p, A_{ij}](X) y_2^T.\end{aligned}$$

If p is harmonic, then $\mathrm{Lap}[p, A_{ij}](X) = 0$ for each A_{ij}. If p is subharmonic, then $\mathrm{Lap}[p, A_{ij}](X) \succeq 0$ for each A_{ij}, which implies that $y^T \mathrm{Lap}[p, A_{ij}](X) y \geq 0$ for all vectors $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

In the nonsymmetric variable case, a partial derivative $\dfrac{\partial q}{\partial z_{ijk}}$ is, in terms of the NC directional derivative notation, equal to

$$D[q, x_k, E_{ij}](Z),$$

where E_{ij} has a 1 for the ij^{th} entry, and 0 for all other entries. The proof follows similarly to the symmetric case. ☐

Proposition 5.18. *Let $p \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$, let $q \in \mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$, let $y, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be nonzero vectors, and let $\Omega \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$ be a connected domain for some n.*

1. *Suppose p is harmonic on Ω. If $y_1^T p(X) y_2$ has a maximum or a minimum for $X \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$, then p is constant.*

2. *Suppose p is subharmonic on Ω. If $y^T p(X) y$ has a maximum for $X \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$, then p is constant.*

3. *Suppose $q(Z)$ is harmonic on Ω. If $y_1^T q(Z) y_2$ has a maximum or a minimum for $Z \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g$, then q is constant.*

4. *Suppose $q(Z)$ is subharmonic on Ω. If $y^T q(Z) y$ has a maximum for $Z \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g$, then q is constant.*

Proof. These items are essentially the Maximum Principle applied to $y_1^T p y_2$ and $y_1^T q y_2$. ☐

Proposition 5.19. *Let* $\Omega \in (\mathbb{R}^{n\times n}_{sym})^g$ *or* $(\mathbb{R}^{n\times n})^g$ *be a bounded domain. Let* $p, q \in \mathbb{R}\langle x\rangle$ *or* $\mathbb{R}\langle x, x^T\rangle$ *respectively be such that* p *is harmonic and* q *is subharmonic. If*

$$q(X) \preceq p(X)$$

for all $X \in \partial\Omega$*, then*

$$q(X) \preceq p(X)$$

for all $X \in \Omega$*.*

Proof. For each $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $y^T py$ is harmonic and $y^T qy$ is subharmonic. By assumption

$$y^T q(X)y \leq y^T p(X)y$$

for all $X \in \partial\Omega$. Since $y^T py$ and $y^T qy$ are simply functions in commuting variables x_{ijk}, by the Maximum Principle,

$$y^T q(X)y \leq y^T p(X)y \tag{5.4.1}$$

for all $X \in \Omega$. Since (5.4.1) is true for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$q(X) \preceq p(X)$$

for all $X \in \Omega$. □

6 Acknowledgments

Author was supported by J.W. Helton's National Science Foundation grants: DMS 07758 and DMS 0757212. Additional thanks goes to J.W. Helton for suggesting the topic of the paper and for all his work in helping the author revise this paper.

The material in this paper is drawn from the author's Ph.D. Thesis at University of California San Diego.

References

[1] J. W. Helton, D. McAllister, J. Hernandez, Noncommutative Harmonic and Subharmonic Polynomials, Integral Equations Operator Theory **61** (2008), no. 1, 77–102

[2] J. W. Helton, Positive Noncommutative Polynomials are a Sum of Squares, Annals of Mathematics **56** (2002), no. 2, pp. 675–694

[3] Juan F. Camino, J. W. Helton, R .E. Skelton, and Jieping Ye. Matrix inequalities: a symbolic procedure to determine convexity automatically. Integral Equations Operator Theory, 46(4):399454, 2003.

[4] Frank Hansen. Operator convex functions of several variables. Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci., 33(3):443463, 1997.

[5] J. W. Helton and Orlando Merino. Sufficient Conditions for Optimization of Matrix Functions. CDC, 1998. pp. 15.

[6] J. W. Helton and Scott McCullough. Convex noncommutative polynomials have degree two or less. Siam J. Matrix Anal. Appl, 25(4):11241139, 2004.

[7] J. W. Helton, Scott A. McCullough, and Victor Vinnikov. Noncommutative convexity arises from linear matrix inequalities. J. Funct. Anal., 240(1):105191, 2006.

[8] J. W. Helton, and M. Putinar, Positive polynomials in scalar and matrix variables, the spectral theorem, and optimization. Operator theory, structured matrices, and dilations, 229-306, Theta Ser. Adv. Math., 7, Theta, Bucharest, 2007. 47-02

[9] M. C. de Oliveira, J. W. Helton, J. William, S. A. McCullough, M. Putinar. Engineering systems and free semi-algebraic geometry. Emerging applications of algebraic geometry, 1761, IMA Vol. Math. Appl., 149, Springer, New York, 2009.

[10] Roger Howe and Eng-Chye Tan. Nonabelian harmonic analysis. Universitext. Springer- Verlag, New York, 1992. Applications of SL(2,R).

[11] Frank Hansen and Jun Tomiyama. Differential analysis of matrix convex functions. Linear Algebra and its Applications, 2006.

[12] Daniel P. McAllaster. Homogeneous harmonic noncommutative polynomials from degree 3 to 7 (includes a recurrence relation for higher degrees). http://math.ucsd.edu/dmcallas/papers/, July 2004.

[13] Gelu Popescu, Free pluriharmonic majorants and commutant lifting, Journal of Functional Analysis, 255 (2008), 891-939.

[14] Gelu Popescu, Free holomorphic functions on the unit ball of B(H)n , Journal of Functional Analysis, 241 (2006), 268-333.

[15] Gelu Popescu, Free pluriharmonic majorants and commutant lifting, Journal of Functional Analysis, 255 (2008), 891-939.

[16] Gelu Popescu, Free holomorphic functions and interpolation, Mathematische Annalen, 342 (2008), 1-30.

[17] Gelu Popescu, Noncommutative transforms and free pluriharmonic functions, Advances in Mathematics, 220 (2009), 831-893.

[18] Dimitri Shlyakhtenko and Dan Voiculescu. Free analysis workshop summary: American institute of mathematics. http://www.aimath.org/pastworkshops/freeanalysis.html, 2006.

[19] Bruce Reznick, Homogeneous polynomial solutions to constant coefficient PDE's over fields, Advances in Mathematics, 117 (1996), 179-192.

[20] J. A. Ball, V. Bolotnikov. Interpolation problems for Schur multipliers on the Drury-Arveson space: from Nevanlinna-Pick to abstract interpolation problem. Integral Equations Operator Theory 62 (2008), no. 3, 301349.

[21] M. Bresar, I. Klep. A note on values of noncommutative polynomials. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 7, 23752379.

[22] D. S. Kaliuzhnyi-Verbovetskyi, V. Vinnikov. Singularities of rational functions and minimal factorizations: the noncommutative and the commutative setting. Linear Algebra Appl. 430 (2009), no. 4, 869889.

Contents

1 Introduction 3
1.1 Definitions 3
1.1.1 Noncommutative Polynomials 3
1.1.2 Degree of a NC Polynomial 3
1.1.3 Transpose of a NC Polynomial 4
1.1.4 Evaluating Noncommutative Polynomials 4
1.1.5 Noncommutative Differentiation 5
1.2 Main Results 6
1.2.1 Non-Commutative Harmonic and Subharmonic Polynomials 6
1.2.2 ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 7
1.2.3 Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables 9
1.2.4 Subharmonic Polynomials 9
1.3 Related Topics and Motivation 9

2 Basic Facts about Derivatives and Permutations of Noncommutative Polynomials 11
2.1 Permutations of Polynomials 11
2.1.1 Definition 11
2.1.2 Symmetrization 13
2.1.3 Independent Products 15
2.2 Product Rules for NC Derivatives 15
2.2.1 Product Rule for First Derivatives 16
2.2.2 Chain Rule for Noncommutative Polynomials 16
2.2.3 Product Rule for Independent Products 18
2.3 Derivatives of Permutations 19
2.3.1 Properties of Comm and Symm 19
2.3.2 Derivatives of Permutations 21
2.3.3 Correspondence Between Noncommutative Symmetrized Polynomial Solutions and Commutative Polynomial Solutions of Partial Differential Equations 22

3 Classification of Noncommutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 23
3.1 An Alternate Classification of the Set of Commutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 24
3.2 Simple Cases of Non-Commutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 27
3.2.1 Degree Bounded by ℓ 27
3.2.2 1-Harmonic Polynomials 28
3.2.3 The Two-Variable Case 30
3.3 Breaking Up ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 35
3.3.1 Breaking up by Degree 35
3.3.2 Factoring out x_i 35
3.4 "Fully Degree ℓ" Polynomials 37
3.5 A Classification of the Set of Noncommutative ℓ-Harmonic Polynomials 43

3.5.1 Proof of Theorem 1.4 (2) 46
3.6 The Case where $d > g$ 48
3.7 Other Selected Cases of Non-Commutative Differential Equations 48
3.7.1 Generalization of Proposition 3.18 to $q = \sum_{i=a+1}^{g} x_i^{\ell}$ . . . 48
3.7.2 Change of Variables 49

4 Noncommutative Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables 50
4.1 Definitions 50
4.2 Differentiation 52
4.3 Partial Differential Equations in Nonsymmetric Variables 53

5 Noncommutative Subharmonic Polynomials 55
5.1 Basic Properties and Results 55
5.1.1 Classification of NC Harmonic Polynomials 55
5.1.2 The Laplacian of a Product 56
5.2 Classifying Subharmonic Polynomials 56
5.2.1 Preliminary Results 56
5.2.2 A Subharmonic Polynomial Has Harmonic Neighbors . . . 58
5.2.3 Classification of Select Classes of Subharmonic NC Polynomials 60
5.2.4 Proof of Theorem 1.7 65
5.3 Subharmonic Polynomials in Nonsymmetric Variables 66
5.4 Properties of Harmonic and Subharmonic Functions 67

6 Acknowledgments 69